

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - REVEREND TOM UNMACHT, THE LAKES LUTHERAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (excused from the afternoon session at 3:46 p.m.), BROWN, L.B. McDONALD (excused from the morning session and the afternoon session until 1:48 p.m.), WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:05 – 9:06)

1-1

REVEREND TOM UNMACHT, The Lakes Lutheran Church, gave the invocation.

(9:06 – 9:08)

1-22

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:08 – 9:09)

1-89

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002 Announcements Continued

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed his condolences to the family of Lonnie Roybel, a close friend who recently passed away.

(1:49)

4-175

COUNCILMAN MACK announced that on November 13th, an open discussion workshop will be held regarding the Tule Springs Northwest Open Space Preservation area. The workshop will be held at Centennial High School from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Representatives from the Bureau of Land Management, Nature Conservatory, State Parks Department and also officials from the County will attend. Anyone wishing to participate in the open dialogue as it relates to protecting rural preservation in the northwest area including Floyd Lamb Park is welcome to attend. COUNCILMAN BROWN encouraged the residents living in and around the Tule Springs area to attend and provide their input. He stated that a number of unfavorable comments have been made by individuals who have not taken the initiative to work closely with the entities that are working diligently to establish a planning process. He encouraged public participation but decried the tenacity of those who choose to make negative comments.

COUNCILMAN MACK also announced that PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH signed the Clark County Federal Land Bill designating Southern Nevada a wilderness area while releasing other lands for public uses.

(2:50 – 2:53)

4-2632

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

With great honor and pleasure, COUNCILMAN BROWN recognized JANELLE KRAFT, former City of Las Vegas employee and current Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department employee, as November's Citizen of the Month for her work ethic, effectiveness, and for always putting service before herself. Other people have many times received credit and recognition for programs for which MS. KRAFT set the foundation. About three years ago Las Vegas experienced a serious problem with chronic inebriates, and it was MS. KRAFT that started the process to develop a program to address this problem.

MS. KRAFT also worked very closely with former City employee MARVIN LEAVITT, who lobbied for the City at the legislative sessions. Each and every legislative staff member is well aware of MS. KRAFT and thinks very highly of her.

As a neighbor to COUNCILMAN BROWN, MS. KRAFT is a wonderful neighbor who stays involved in the neighborhood. She also keeps her family a priority.

MS. KRAFT, who was accompanied by her husband, FRANK, son, RYAN, and daughter, ANGIE, was truly surprised and grateful for the honor bestowed upon her. She felt very fortunate to have spent 20 years working with great Council members. She wondered if this gesture means that the City will contribute the money requested to help set up a program for chronic inebriates.

(9:09 – 9:17)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

SPECIAL PRESENTATION RELATED TO THE CITY'S CANINE PROGRAM

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MIKE SHELDON, Director, Detention and Enforcement, said that it was a great honor to be able to give thanks and recognition to the following people who donated some of the dogs to the Canine Program: DR. WILLIAM J. RIFLEY, III, MICHAEL and YVONNE MURPHY, KAREN SOLOWAY, who appeared on behalf of her husband DR. HENRY SOLOWAY, and JILL SOLOWAY JOHNSON. MR. SHELDON indicated that the SOLOWAYS donated Sharky, DR. RIFLEY donated T-Man, and the MURPHYS donated Royce. As a token of appreciation, each donator was presented with a small plaque, which exemplifies the partnership between the community and the City. He gave them each special thanks.

DEPUTY MARSHAL KEITH GORDON stated that he had the honor of handling the first dog five years ago. He is now handling Sharky, a four-and-a-half-year-old German Shepard from Germany. DEPUTY MARSHAL BRIAN SEIPEL handles Royce, who was donated by the MURPHYS. Royce is a six-year-old German Shepard from Holland. DEPUTY MARSHAL TREVOR CARLIN handles T-Man, the first explosives detector dog for the City of Las Vegas. DEPUTY MARSHAL DAVID PAYNE handles Casar. CORRECTIONS OFFICER TODD CHAFEE handles Bronco, a two-and-a-half-year-old German Shepard. CORRECTIONS OFFICER RUSS JERNEE handles K-Man.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Ceremonial

Special Presentation Related to the City's Canine Program

MINUTES – Continued:

DEPUTY MARSHAL GORDON mentioned that all the German Shepard dogs are cross-trained for narcotics and patrol, and they all work in the Detention Center. Bronco and K-man actually work in the Detention Center, where a kennel was built for them. They work on narcotics and are involved in institutional disturbances. He thanked the donators and the handlers for making the program a success.

(9:17 – 9:24)

1-352

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CENTENNIAL HILLS IDOL CONTEST PARTICIPANTS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he was glad to see the Mayor attend the second annual Centennial Hills celebration. This year's event was quite impressive. It started out with a skateboard clinic, followed by the Centennial Hills Idol contest, and the food was also great.

The Centennial Hills Idol contest was fashioned after the American Idol. Many of the schools were involved. He named all the winners. First Place – TAYLOR ELIASON and MICHAEL HUGHES, Second Place – CHELSEA LYONS, Third Place – PAULA DEANN RODESKY, and the Runners Up – CAMERON DIXON, KOURNEY WELTE, and ABRITTNEY DELOS SANTOS.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that the First Place winners would be treated by the Scintas with four show tickets and dinner for four at the Rio Hotel and Casino.

MS. RODESKY sang the National Anthem and MR. ELIASON, who is related to City of North Las Vegas COUNCILMAN ROBERT ELIASON, sang the Bellagio theme song by Andrea Bocelli.

(9:24 – 9:35)

1-598

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION BY THE LAS VEGAS PHILHARMONIC

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

BILL MARION, Las Vegas Philharmonic Development Director, stated that on 7/4/98 the Las Vegas Philharmonic was born at Hills Park Summerlin. The fifth Las Vegas Philharmonic concert was given at Hills Park on 7/4/2002. CNN ranked this celebration as one of the top ten celebrations in the country for the 4th of July. This and other events involving the Las Vegas Philharmonic could not have taken place without the support and help of every member of the Council. He also thanked the Howard Hughes Corporation for its financial sponsorship during the 4th of July celebration.

As a token of appreciation, MR. MARION presented the Council with a photograph of the fireworks spectacular with the orchestra playing the 1812 Overture. A copy was also presented to the Howard Hughes Corporation.

An unidentified gentleman with the Howard Hughes Corporation stated that the 4th of July celebration was a great event for the Summerlin area. He thanked HAL WELLER, MR. MARION, the Las Vegas Philharmonic, and the City for its partnership. He looks forward to many more celebrations. MAYOR GOODMAN agreed that the 4th of July celebration is a great event and makes him feel proud to be an American.

(9:35 – 9:38)

1-945

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Ceremonial – Continued

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE recognized DAVID JOHN HANCOCK, whom he has known for many years, for the wonderful painting he drew. When COUNCILMAN REESE saw this painting, he felt that it warranted exhibition.

MR. HANCOCK was very grateful for the honor. He said that he does not consider himself an artist. He likes to paint to wind down at the end of the day, but he is very grateful to God for the many gifts and talents he gives to some people. He noted that in painting the picture, he wanted to tell the story of the people that were involved in the 9-11 tragedy. All Americans felt the grief. He painted the picture with a lot of sorrow, but also with a lot of respect for the many people that died on that day. He gave thanks to the firemen and police officers for their service. He hopes that people that see this picture will remember what was lost on 9-11 and what was found.

(9:38 – 9:42)

1-1058

MAYOR GOODMAN reminded everyone that what is anticipated to be the greatest Veterans Day Parade would be held that Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. He said that the community should really show its respect for those who have served this country. Immediately after the parade, the Arts District will be hosting an art exhibit with music, and will be serving food and refreshments.

(9:42 – 9:42)

1-1188

MAYOR GOODMAN introduced CRYSTAL HERRERA from Sierra Vista High School and CRYSTAL SEGUERA and SANDRA VALLEJO from Mojave High School, who were scheduled to sit with the Council for the day as part of Latin Chamber of Commerce Career Day.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1223

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 82, and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 12/18/2002 and Item 88 to 11/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:46)

1-1280

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of October 2, 2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:46)

1-1333

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: NIEL PEZZILLO

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an agreement with Embeck Media to place media on City of Las Vegas parking meters

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Embeck Media will pay the City of Las Vegas \$6.00 per parking meter used in this program. This program aligns with the City Council priority titled "Resolve the escalating cost of running a city versus revenue capacity."

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Advertising Module Agreement

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that he received a request from GARY PECK of the ACLU and ATTORNEY ALLEN LICHTENSTEIN to address this issue.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL reported that this matter is in regard to advertising on City parking meters, which is consistent with the direction the Council issued in January to develop a marketing partnership program. This is the first of several agreements to take advantage of City assets for future advertising.

ATTORNEY LICHTENSTEIN stated that the press contacted him and MR. PECK about the issue of whether Embeck Media would be able to discriminate between particular businesses, which is clearly a violation of the Constitution, because the meters are City property. All licensed businesses should be given equal opportunity and access to City property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent - Administrative Services

Item 3 – Approval of an agreement with Embeck Media to place media on City of Las Vegas parking meters

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. PECK thanked the Mayor for the opportunity to speak on this issue and mentioned that the City is entitled to prohibit a particular kind of advertising, but not to discriminate within the categories.

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN said that discussion has already been held about the issues that ATTORNEY LICHTENSTEIN and MR. PECK brought up. He is confident that City staff and the Council understand the law.

There was no further discussion.

(9:51 – 9:54)

1-1523

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement for a \$150,000 grant from the Nevada Commission on Cultural Affairs for the renovation and adaptive re-use of the Downtown Heritage Center (Federal Building-Post Office) at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Nevada Commission on Cultural Affairs has awarded the City a grant in the amount of \$150,000 for the renovation and adaptive re-use of the Federal Building-Post Office. Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement will allow the City access to the grant funds.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement from the Nevada Commission on Cultural Affairs.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Grant Funding Agreement
3. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although Items 4, 5, 6, 25, and 31 relate to City-owned property in the downtown area, and MK² Advertising, with whom he is associated, recently contracted with the Horseshoe Casino, and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER acquired a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as no one affiliated with any of these casinos has approached him. Additionally, he would also be voting on Item 76, which is located near the office of AMFCOR, a client of MK² Advertising, because he does not feel it will impact Mr. Stewart's business and no one has spoken to him about this request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Business Development

Item 4 - Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement for a \$150,000 grant from the Nevada Commission on Cultural Affairs for the renovation and adaptive re-use of the Downtown Heritage Center (Federal Building-Post Office) at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002)

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: Regarding Item No. 12, COUNCILMAN M. McDONALD disclosed that although he used to be a member of the Our Lady of Las Vegas Board, he would be voting.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement for a \$20,000 grant from the Historic Preservation Fund for the renovation and adaptive re-use of the Downtown Heritage Center (Federal Building-Post Office) at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Historic Preservation Fund through the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office has awarded the City a grant in the amount of \$20,000 for the renovation and adaptive re-use of the Federal Building-Post Office. Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement will allow the City access to the grant funds.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement from the Historic Preservation Fund.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Grant Funding Agreement
3. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although Items 4, 5, 6, 25, and 31 relate to City-owned property in the downtown area, and MK² Advertising, with whom he is associated, recently contracted with the Horseshoe Casino, and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER acquired a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as no one affiliated with any of these casinos has approached him. Additionally, he would also be voting on Item 76, which is located near the office AMFCOR, a client of MK² Advertising, because he does not feel it will impact Mr. Stewart's business and no one has spoken to him about this request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Business Development

Item 5 - Approval to accept and authorization for the Mayor to execute the Grant Funding Agreement for a \$20,000 grant from the Historic Preservation Fund for the renovation and adaptive re-use of the Downtown Heritage Center (Federal Building-Post Office) at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002)

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS**DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Third Amendment to a Professional Services Agreement with Kitchell Contractor's Inc. for construction management and review of construction bid documents for the construction of the Stewart Avenue Parking Garage (\$75,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$75,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide the Consultant an amendment to extend the required services for approximately 3 months during the construction of the Stewart Avenue Parking Garage. This request will add \$75,000 to purchase order 212754 with Kitchell Contractor's Inc. Additional services are required due to the project schedule being extended approximately three months.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Third Amendment to a Professional Services Agreement with Kitchell Contractor's Inc. for construction management and review of construction bid documents for the construction of the Stewart Ave. Parking Garage in the amount of \$75,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Amendment to Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although Items 4, 5, 6, 25, and 31 relate to City-owned property in the downtown area, and MK² Advertising, with whom he is associated, recently contracted with the Horseshoe Casino, and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER acquired a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as no one affiliated with any of these casinos has approached him. Additionally, he would also be voting on Item 76, which is located near the office AMFCOR, a client of MK² Advertising, because he does not feel it will impact Mr. Stewart's business and no one has spoken to him about this request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Field Operations

Item 6 - Approval of Third Amendment to a Professional Services Agreement with Kitchell Contractor's Inc. for construction management and review of construction bid documents for the construction of the Stewart Avenue Parking Garage (\$75,000 - Capital Projects Fund)

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$110,975,300.36

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: All Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 09/16/02 - 09/30/02

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 13,254,614.12
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,647,973.75
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 93,072,712.49
Total NBS & City Investments	0.00

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appropriate funding in the amount of \$290,000 (City Facilities Capital Projects Fund) for the remodel of the facilities at 416 N. 7th Street to meet occupancy requirements - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$290,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: City Facilities CPF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Human Resources has vacated office space at 416 N. 7th Street with their move to 400 Stewart Avenue. Detention and Enforcement, Parking Citations, Rapid Response, and Code Enforcement require remodeling through Field Operations to accommodate their occupancy of this space.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve an increase in appropriations for City Facilities Capital Projects Fund to provide \$290,000 for the remodeling project from remaining balances for various City Facility capital projects that are now closed.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to transfer funding in the amount of \$200,000 (Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund) from the Arbor Hills project to the Bonanza-Sandhill Ballfield project, and to increase prior pre-approved contract award from \$2,200,000 to \$2,232,000 plus conflicts and contingency reserve as set by Purchasing and Contracts Division - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks & Leisure Activities CPF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Bonanza-Sandhill Ballfield project is currently funded at \$2,700,000. The additional \$200,000 is required to cover soft costs and higher than previously pre-approved contract award limit. Pre-approval of contract award was authorized by City Council under Agenda Item 22 on September 18, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a transfer of funding from the Arbor Hills Park project to the Bonanza-Sandhill Ballfield project in the amount of \$200,000, bringing the total project funding to \$2,900,000, and authorize contract award up to \$2,232,000 plus conflicts and contingency reserve.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Parks in Progress Listing

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to transfer up to \$200,000 (Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund) to the Community College of Southern Nevada (CCSN) towards paving the parking lot adjacent to and for the joint benefit of Opportunity Village, subject to an agreement to be executed by the City Manager - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks & Leisure Activities CPF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The All American Park capital project has been completed, leaving an uncosted/uncommitted balance of approximately \$280,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval to transfer up to \$200,000 to CCSN subject to an agreement executed by the City Manager, with the balance of the All American Park uncosted/uncommitted funding to revert to the Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund balance.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Parks in Progress Listing

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)
 1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the fourth quarterly approval of Qualified Contractors for the period November 6, 2002 through January 1, 2004 pursuant to City of Las Vegas Qualification Plan

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance/Purchasing

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 10/3/01, Council approved the Contractor Qualification Process to qualify bidders for certain Public Work (PW) projects exceeding \$100,000. On 01/02/02, Council approved the qualification of 30 contractors for the period 01/02/02 through 01/01/04.

Staff has now completed the review and evaluation of the fourth quarter 2002 applications and is recommending that two applicants be considered for approval for the period 11/06/02 through 01/01/04.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the two applicants for the fourth quarterly approval process for the period November 6, 2002 through January 1, 2004.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Mission of St. Charbel, Location: Our Lady of Las Vegas Catholic Church, 3050 Alta Drive, Date: November 9, 2002, Type: Special Event General, Event: Fund-raising Dinner, Responsible Person in Charge: Antoine Abi-Nader - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: Regarding Item No. 12, COUNCILMAN M. McDONALD disclosed that although he used to be a member of the Our Lady of Las Vegas Board, he would be voting.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, The Vons Companies, Inc., dba Vons #2613, 6450 Sky Pointe Drive, Thomas Keller, Pres, David J. Zylstra, VP, Thomas B. Acevedo, Secy, Bradley S. Fox, Treas - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, From: Cholwon Lee, 100%, To: L & C Investment Co., dba Shogun Japanese Restaurant, 4941 West Craig Road, Cholwon Lee, Dir, Treas, 50%, Bo Sun Lee, Pres, Secy, 50% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, United Coin Machine Co., db at Mojave Plaza Laundromat, 27 North Mojave Road - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License, John Gatesman, dba John Gatesman, 416 South Jones Blvd., John R. Gatesman, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License, Fernando Villalpando, dba Wolf School of Tae Kwon Do, 7024 West Charleston Blvd., Fernando Villalpando, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Touch of Life, LLC, dba Touch of Life, 911 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 208, Sharon I. Padilla, Mmbr, 50%, Heather R. Criswell, Mmbr, 50% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Pistol Permit License, Kent G. Wagner, dba Kent's, 26 South Water Street, Suite A, Kent G. Wagner, 100% - Henderson

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Pistol Permit License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.26-RC, Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue, Rancho Drive to I-15 and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: FREHNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (\$11,588,472 - Regional Transportation Commission, Clark County Regional Flood Control District, Sanitation Fund, SID 1478) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$11,588,472

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Eng. Design

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC, CCRFCD, Sanitation Fund, SID 1478

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The work to be performed consists of the removal of asphalt concrete pavement, concrete curb, gutter & sidewalk; roadway excavation; the placement of base aggregate, asphalt concrete paving; the construction of storm drain improvements, water line relocation, sanitary sewer improvements; the installation of two new traffic signals at the Vegas Dr./Simmons St. intersection & the Vegas Dr./Tonopah Dr. intersection, a flashing school beacon on Vegas Dr. & signal upgrades at Vegas Dr./Owens Ave. & Martin Luther King Blvd. intersection, Vegas Dr. & Rancho Dr.

POC: Dennis Wise - (702) 649-2530

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.1730.26-RC, Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue, Rancho Drive to I-15 to Frehner Construction Company in the amount of \$11,588,472 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$1,000,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 20 - Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.26-RC, Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue, Rancho Drive to I-15 and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: FREHNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (\$11,588,472 - Regional Transportation Commission, Clark County Regional Flood Control District, Sanitation Fund, SID 1478)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to Award Bid Number 03.1730.03-RC, Durango Drive - Phase 2, Lone Mountain Road to Tropical Parkway and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$3,534,129 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$3,534,129

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project includes widening of existing pavement to four traffic lanes & one continuous left turn lane, removing & replacing existing asphalt concrete pavement, constructing median islands, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveways, installing streetlights, traffic signals, undergrounds for future traffic signals, pavement markings, traffic signs, soil cement, V ditches, water & sewer laterals & other related items for roadway & drainage improvements from Lone Mountain to Tropical Pkwy. The project location is Durango Drive between Lone Mtn Rd & Tropical Pkwy.

POC: Ryan Mendenhall - (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 03.1730.03-RC, Durango Drive - Phase 2, Lone Mountain Road to Tropical Parkway to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$3,534,129 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 030027-DAR, Open End Contract for Miscellaneous Vehicles - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: FAIRWAY CHEVROLET for Lots 1, 2, 3, 6 & 7; BILL HEARD CHEVROLET for Lot 5; and GAUDIN FORD for Lot 4 (Aggregate amount of \$628,857 - Fire Equipment Acquisition Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$628,857☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire & Rescue☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Fire Equipment Acquisition Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for an open end contract for thirty-six (36) miscellaneous vehicles for Fire & Rescue support personnel.

POC: Paul Brown, Fairway Chevrolet - (702) 432-3650

POC: Ed Tozier, Bill Heard Chevrolet - (702) 870-9309

POC: Bill Wheeler, Gaudin Ford - (702) 796-2732

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030027-DAR, Open End Contract for Miscellaneous Vehicles to Fairway Chevrolet for Lots 1, 2, 3, 6 & 7; Bill Heard Chevrolet for Lot 5; and Gaudin Ford for Lot 4 in the aggregate amount of \$628,857.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of change order to Bid Number 01.15301.18-LED, Doolittle Community Center Renovation for additional equipment & additional work and increase the conflicts & contingency reserve - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION, INC. (\$550,000 - Parks Capital Improvements Projects and 99 Parks Bonds) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$550,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks CIP/99 Parks Bonds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The original bid was pre-approved on December 19, 2001 for the renovation & addition to the Doolittle Community Center. During the course of construction, numerous items were added to the scope of work for purchase and installation by the General Contractor (Richardson Construction, Inc.) utilizing the previously approved construction contingency funding. This reduced the contingency to a level that is insufficient to address any potential contingency requirements as well as the cost associated with the installation of the additional equipment.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the change order to Bid No. 01.15301.18-LED, Doolittle Community Center Renovation to Richardson Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$550,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for twelve (12) one-ton heavy duty utility trucks under the open end provision of Bid Number 020011-TC (DAR) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: FAIRWAY CHEVROLET (\$349,512 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$349,512☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 5, 2001, City Council approved the award of an open end contract with Fairway Chevrolet for the purchase of miscellaneous vehicles. Fairway Chevrolet has agreed to hold the current price for twelve (12) additional one-ton heavy-duty utility trucks for replacements to the existing fleet of equipment.

POC: Paul Brown, Fairway Chevrolet - (702) 432-3650

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for twelve (12) one-ton heavy duty utility trucks under the open end provision of Bid Number 020011-TC (DAR) to Fairway Chevrolet in the amount of \$349,512.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Contract CLV-03-0002 (LR) for Security and Operations Support Services at the Neonopolis Garage - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: FREMONT STREET EXPERIENCE PARKING CORPORATION (\$222,830 - Parking Enterprise Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$222,830☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Parking Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This contract provides for periodic security patrols and reporting and for the operation of the Parking Access and Revenue Control System, including providing access attendants and revenue collection/reporting. Savings on these services are possible through the sharing of existing FSE security and parking services infrastructure. The base year of the contract is from date of award through 11/30/03, with two 1-year options. Competitive bidding exception documented in RDA July 3, 2002, Agenda Item No. 3.

POC: Jerry Griffis - (702) 678-5705

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Contract No. CLV-03-0002 to Fremont Street Experience Parking Corporation from date of award through 11/30/03 w/ two 1-yr. options in the annual amt of \$222,830. Authority to execute contract on behalf of the City is given to the P&C Manager per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Certificate - Disclosure of Ownership/Principals

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 25 - Approval of award of Contract CLV-03-0002 (LR) for Security and Operations Support Services at the Neonopolis Garage - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: FREMONT STREET EXPERIENCE PARKING CORPORATION (\$222,830 - Parking Enterprise Fund)

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although Items 4, 5, 6, 25, and 31 relate to City-owned property in the downtown area, and MK² Advertising, with whom he is associated, recently contracted with the Horseshoe Casino, and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER acquired a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as no one affiliated with any of these casinos has approached him. Additionally, he would also be voting on Item 76, which is located near the office AMFCOR, a client of MK² Advertising, because he does not feel it will impact Mr. Stewart's business and no one has spoken to him about this request.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of revision number one to purchase order number 212909 (DAR) for the annual requirements contract for custodial services - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: BEST JANITORIAL SERVICES (\$200,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$200,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Fac. Mgmt.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On January 15, 2000 the City Council approved an annual requirements contract for an estimated annual usage amount of \$600,000. Revision number one will increase the estimated annual usage by \$200,000 to allow for additional services required for the addition of twenty-one (21) City Buildings for custodial services.

POC: Rafael Romano - (702) 736-4785

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number one to purchase order number 212909 for the annual requirements contract for custodial services to Best Janitorial Services in the additional amount of \$200,000 from date of award through December 31, 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: A previous motion by M. McDonald to trail this matter carried unanimously with L.B. McDONALD excused.

MINUTES:

NOTE: This matter was trailed to allow MR. VINCENT to ascertain the licensing status of Best Janitorial Services.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed concern about a letter he received indicating that the recommended bidder has not had a current business license since 11/30/2001. MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, assured COUNCILMAN McDONALD that the license status is verified before the award recommendation is made. LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, Field Operations, stated that Best Janitorial Services does have a current business license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent - Finance and Business Services

Item 26 –

MINUTES – Continued:

TOMMY RICKETTS, President, City Employees Association, urged the Council to review this matter further out of consideration for the taxpayers. He sent a letter to the Council based on information he received about Best Janitorial Services. He also requested an audit of Best Janitorial Services be done.

COUNCILMAN BROWN questioned whether the contract has built-in performance standards to evaluate the job being performed. MR. HAUGSNESS responded in the affirmative, adding that contracting with Best Janitorial would save the City approximately \$300,000 a year. Contracting out for custodial services is in response to the recommendation of Management Partners. MR. VINCENT clarified for COUNCILMAN BROWN that the contract includes a termination clause for failure to perform.

MR. RICKETTS added that the CEA and the City of Las Vegas came to an agreement on a Memorandum of Understanding on 5/9/2002 regarding contracting out services and privatization on such services. Certain parameters were built into it with which the CEA is currently in disagreement. Numerous grievances were filed on this procedure that have been put on hold. The CEA representatives and classified employees feel that employees should be able to compete against private contractors. A meeting is scheduled with Human Resources that will hopefully result in some resolution. MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. RICKETTS to let him know about the results of the meeting with Human Resources.

MR. VINCENT came back and reported that Best Janitorial Services has a valid license to do business in the City of Las Vegas. The license was issued on 10/30/2002. MR. RICKETTS said that his concern is that Best Janitorial was delinquent on its license, and that they have one address on Chandler listed with the State and another listed on Sahara with the City.

There was no further discussion.

(9:54 – 10:00/10:19 – 10:21)

1-1660/1-2742

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 030148-DAR, Open End Contract for one Asphalt Patch Truck - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: TRUCK CENTER OF NEVADA (\$106,253 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$106,253

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This item will provide for an addition to the fleet of asphalt patch trucks to be used by the Streets and Sanitation Division for the daily maintenance and repair of City streets.

The apparent low bidder (Cate Nevada Equipment Co.) has taken material exceptions to the technical specifications of the bid documents; thus, this bid is deemed non-responsive and award recommended to next low bidder.

POC: Larry Ogletree - (702) 649-4256

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of bid submitted by Cate Nevada Equipment Co. as non-responsive and approve the award of Bid Number 030148-DAR, Open End Contract for one Asphalt Patch Truck to Truck Center of Nevada in the amount of \$106,253.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 030157-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Automotive Parts - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY WHOLESALE (\$200,000 - General Fund) and CHARLESTON AUTO PARTS (\$100,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$300,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide for an annual requirements contract for after market automotive parts for the daily operations of Fleet Management.

POC: Dave Webb, Clark County Wholesale - (702) 243-0144

POC: Darlene Carter, Charleston Auto Parts - (702) 642-7801

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid # 030157-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Automotive Parts to Clark County Wholesale and Charleston Auto Parts for the period from date of award through 10/31/03, with four (4) one year options to renew in the estimate annual aggregate amount of \$300,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of change order to Bid No. 01.15301.24-LED, Garehime Heights Park for skatepark components and additional landscaping - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORP. (\$100,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$100,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The original construction award excluded the skatepark, which was then bid separately. All skatepark bids were later rejected and the skatepark was added at a lower cost by change order to the construction contract, utilizing the previously approved construction contingency funding. This reduced the contingency to a level that is insufficient to complete the normal conflicts encountered together with the turfing change from seed to sod.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the change order to Bid No. 01.15301.24-LED, Garehime Heights Park to Las Vegas Paving Corp. in the amount of \$100,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he believes that someone associated with Las Vegas Paving is in an executive capacity as his partner on the APEX project and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN said that he requested the matter be pulled for discussion so that he could clarify the process on the Parks Program. To his understanding, regardless of the size of the park project, any monies that are to be transferred necessitate review and consideration by the Council.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with COUNCILMAN REESE that he was clear on the process.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked COUNCILMAN BROWN for his explanation. He noted that everybody understands where monies are coming from and what happens with monies generated from each Ward.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 29 – Bid No. 01.15301.24 – LED

MINUTES – Continued:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, interjected that it might be helpful to clarify that the Council sets a program budget before going to bid. Many times the bid award is less than what was programmed for a particular project. In this case, the amount programmed was not exceeded, but more money needs to be redirected into the construction account.

COUNCILMAN BROWN further clarified that excess dollars for projects go back into the General Fund to be reprogrammed. Even the monies that may result from the potential sale of open space in the Hi-Tech Park have to go back into the fund and be considered by the Council to be used for improvements in the Hi-Tech Park.

COUNCILMAN REESE said that it is important that all the Council members are fully informed and in concurrence so that the individual Council members can get his/her projects completed.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that there is a big problem with vandalism in the parks. Many ways of addressing this problem have been discussed, and a solution is necessary because the City cannot tolerate this.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that he did not realize that parks in Wards 2 and 6 are being vandalized. He stressed that it is an issue that needs to be looked at, especially where it concerns that safety of the public.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed CITY MANAGER SELBY to look into a means of patrolling parks.

There was no further discussion.

(10:00 – 10:08)

1-1886

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 030010-CW, Annual Requirements Contract for Exercise Equipment - Various Departments - Award recommended to: ADVANTAGE FITNESS for Lots I-V; NEVADA FITNESS for Lot VI; ADVANCED EXERCISE EQUIPMENT for Lots VII and VIII (Estimated aggregate annual amount of \$85,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$85,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This is an annual requirements contract for the purchase of exercise equipment for various City departments.

POC: Michael Doyle, Advantage Fitness Products - (702) 221-8531

POC: Moody Igram, Nevada Fitness Systems - (702) 364-2627

POC: David Bedwel, Advanced Exercise Equipment - (702) 837-3786

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030010-CW, ARC for Exercise Equipment to Advantage Fitness, Nevada Fitness and Advanced Exercise Equip. for the period from date of award through December 31, 2003, with three one-year renewal options in the estimated aggregate annual amount of \$85,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of issuance of a blanket purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Custodial and Landscape Maintenance of the Downtown Post Office Building (DAR) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: OPPORTUNITY VILLAGE (Estimated annual amount of \$80,000 - General Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$80,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Fac.Mgmt.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for custodial and landscape maintenance at the Downtown Post Office Facility.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.117.

POC: Greg Lucas - (702) 880-4078

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a blanket purchase order for an ARC for custodial & landscape maint. at the Downtown Post Office to Opportunity Village from date of award through 10/31/03 with one-year renewal options as long as the bidding exemption applies in the annual amount of \$80,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although Items 4, 5, 6, 25, and 31 relate to City-owned property in the downtown area, and MK² Advertising, with whom he is associated, recently contracted with the Horseshoe Casino, and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER acquired a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as no one affiliated with any of these casinos has approached him. Additionally, he would also be voting on Item 76, which is located near the office AMFCOR, a client of MK² Advertising, because he does not feel it will impact Mr. Stewart's business and no one has spoken to him about this request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 31 - Approval of issuance of a blanket purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Custodial and Landscape Maintenance of the Downtown Post Office Building (DAR) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: OPPORTUNITY VILLAGE (Estimated annual amount of \$80,000 - General Fund)

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of revision number one to purchase order number 215173 to provide for contingency funding for an automatic fueling dispensing and key processing system under the terms and conditions of Request for Proposals 010029-JDF - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: SER-CON Inc. (\$50,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$50,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Fleet Svc.☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to provide additional funding for contingencies and change orders to purchase order number 215173, which was approved by City Council July 17, 2002, to provide and install all supplies, materials and equipment for an automatic fueling dispensing and key processing system for various sites throughout the City of Las Vegas. The original purchase order, in the amount of \$535,927.30, did not provide for any contingency costs.

POC: Pamela E. Kissick - (702) 733-0044

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number one to purchase order number 215173 to increase funding in the amount of \$50,000 to provide for contingency funding for an automatic fueling dispensing and key processing system awarded to Ser-Con Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 030149-DAR, James Gay Park Fescue Sod Installation - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: VALLEY SOD FARMS (\$32,400 - General Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$32,400

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Parks

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the installation of approximately 135,000 square feet of fescue sod at James Gay Park.

POC: Michael Hackney - (702) 376-4244

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030149-DAR, James Gay Park Fescue Sod Installation to Valley Sod Farms in the amount of \$32,400.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of revision number one to purchase order number 214372 for Loss Control Management Services (LR) to assist the City in developing and implementing an enhanced safety/loss control program - Department of Human Resources - Awarded recommended to: OHMS, A BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD SUBSIDIARY (\$30,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$30,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Council approved the original Agreement on February 6, 2002. The number of hours required for these services was underestimated (3 days per week); however, actual days per week required have been 4 to 5 days per week. This increase is necessary during the first year of the Agreement to facilitate the performance at the service level necessary to get the safety initiative set up and running.

POC: Bridgid Wyszomerski - (702) 228-2057

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number one to purchase order number 214372 to increase funding in the amount of \$30,000 to facilitate the increased number of actual hours to perform on the loss control management services agreement to OHMS, a Blue Cross/Blue Shield Subsidiary.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of all bids received for Bid Number 030014-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Alta Drive West Landscape Maintenance - Department of Public Works - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work under this project called for landscape maintenance of Alta Drive West. All bids are being rejected to facilitate significant revisions to the technical specifications. Once the revisions are completed, the project will be re-bid.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of all bids for Bid Number 030014-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Alta Drive Landscape Maintenance and approve the request to re-bid with the revisions to the Technical Specifications.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Emergency Management Preparedness Grant in the amount of \$129,006 (\$64,503 FEMA/\$64,503 City contribution - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$64,503 (50% match from CLV)☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire & Rescue/Emergency Mgmt.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** FEMA and General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Las Vegas is subject to fires, flash floods, heat waves, high winds, lightning strikes, chemical spills, terrorism, airplane crashes, hail, small tornados, and earthquakes. All of these can affect our citizens, tourists, and the economy. This grant funds fifty percent (50%) of our Office of Emergency Management allowing for the development of emergency plans, participation in training opportunities, and conducting emergency exercises to enhance the City of Las Vegas' ability to respond to emergencies. The City's Internal Grants Committee reviewed and approved this grant on August 8, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends approval and that the grant application be executed by the City's Emergency Manager.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Form OEM-001
2. DEM Form 98-4, July 2001
3. FEMA Form 85-17, Jun 90
4. EMPG Jurisdiction - Federal Certified Assurances
5. Nevada Division of Emergency Management - Financial Assurances
6. Nevada Division of Emergency Management - Program Assurances

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Fire and Rescue

Item 36 - Approval of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Emergency Management Preparedness Grant in the amount of \$129,006 (\$64,503 FEMA/\$64,503 City contribution - General Fund)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to create one regular full time Customer Service Representative (CSR) position (\$19,200 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$19,200

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Human Resources (HR) uses an hourly employee to support the front desk/personnel file areas. Hourly employees have a limited number of hours they are permitted to work and must leave after working full time for approximately 9 months. This results in constant re-training. A regular full time position would ensure adequate staffing while maintaining a high level of service and continuity for the front desk/personnel areas. HR presently has a full time hourly position which would be converted to the one full time regular CSR position, thereby, eliminating the need for any budget augmentation.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that creation of a regular full time Customer Service Representative position be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Human Resources Employee/Position Request (EPR) #0807HR

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of allocating Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to BBC Research and Consulting to conduct an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Study for compliance with Housing and Urban Development (HUD) federal regulations (\$55,250 - Community Development Block) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$55,250

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CDBG

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

As part of the Consolidated Plan, HUD regulations mandate that jurisdictions conduct an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing which includes an Action Plan to mitigate impediments to fair housing. BBC Research and Consulting responded to a Request For Proposal to conduct the Study.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the allocation and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreement once it has been approved as to form by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the transfer of FY2002 HOPWA grant funds in the amount of \$41,815 from Pahrump Family Resource Center to Salvation Army - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$41,815☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** HUD HOPWA**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Neighborhood Services received a letter from Nye County School District, the fiscal agent for the Pahrump Family Resource Center (PFRC), stating that they will no longer act as fiscal agent for PFRC. Salvation Army has a satellite office in Pahrump. Salvation Army has written to request that the grant be transferred to them in order to continue serving the HIV/AIDS clients in Pahrump.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends City Council approve the transfer of this grant in the amount of \$41,815 and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement after approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter from Nye County School District dated October 15, 2002
2. Letter from Salvation Army dated October 11, 2002
3. Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids (HOPWA) Program Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for portions of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 18, the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 19 and the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, M.D.M., for road, sewer and drainage purposes generally located on the south side of Elkhorn Road between the Grand Canyon Drive alignment and the El Capitan Way alignment, and on the west side of Grand Canyon north of the Elkhorn Road alignment – APNs 125-18-403-003, 125-19-501-001, -002, -005, -007 and 125-20-101-001 through -005 – Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file an amendment to Right-of-Way Grant No. N-74862 with the Bureau of Land Management for an access road on portions of land lying within the Southeast Quarter (SE1/4) of Section 2, T20S, R59E, M.D.M., generally located on north side of the Alexander Road alignment, west of the Puli Road alignment – APN 137-02-000-001 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 6, the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 7 and the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 8, Township 20 South, Range 60 East, M.D.M., generally located on the north and south sides of Alexander Road between Hualapai Way and Durango Drive and the new Hualapai Way alignment between Alexander Road and Cheyenne Avenue (Alexander Road/Hualapai Way Road Improvements Project) - APNs 138-06-301-001, -401-006, -801-009, 138-07-201-010 and 138-08-501-005 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Dedication from the City of Las Vegas, a Municipal Corporation for a portion of the Southeast Quarter (SE ¼) of Section 27, T20S, R61E, M.D.M., for street right-of-way and a sewer easement located on the northwest corner of Bonanza Road and 9th Street - APN 139-27-805-001 – Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Dedication from the City of Las Vegas, a Municipal Corporation for a portion of the Southeast Quarter (SE ¼) of Section 21, T20S, R61E, M.D.M., for street right-of-way, drainage easement and a pedestrian walkway easement located on the south side of Lake Mead Boulevard, east of Martin L. King Boulevard - APN 139-21-701-002-003 – Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Bill of Sale to the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for transfer of ownership of water distribution facilities installed in conjunction with the Lewis Avenue Corridor Improvements - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City desires to transfer ownership of water distribution facilities to the LVVWD in accordance with the conditions of Agreement No. CON 107814. These facilities were installed in conjunction with the Lewis Avenue Corridor Improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a task-oriented Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting, Inc. for Engineering Services, Professional Surveying and Underground Utility Potholing (\$100,000 - City of Las Vegas, Regional Transportation Commission, Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CLV, RTC, CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The purpose of this agreement is for City Engineer Division staff to procure on-call professional surveying and underground utility potholing services for in-house design or construction projects.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Acclaim Materials Testing & Inspection, LLP on behalf of William J. Papineau, owner (northwest corner of Cimarron Road and Red Coach Avenue, APN 138-04-103-012) - County (near Ward 4 - Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect ten single family dwellings located at the northwest corner of Cimarron Road and Red Coach Avenue. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" sewer line located in Cimarron Road. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". The property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Christopher and Jessica Cave, owners (5975 North Campbell Road, APN 125-29-302-003) - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a single family dwelling located at 5975 North Campbell Road. The owners propose to connect to an existing 12" sewer line located in Tropical Parkway. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - CivilWorks, Inc. on behalf of Las Vegas Valley Water District, owner (southwest corner of Butler Street and Tropical Parkway, APN 125-28-303-001) - County (near Ward 4 - Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a satellite facility for Las Vegas Valley Water District located at the southwest corner of Butler Street and Tropical Parkway. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" sewer line in Tropical Parkway. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from VTN Nevada, Incorporated, on behalf of Quarterhorse Falls II, LLC, owner (El Capitan Way between O'Hare Avenue and Log Cabin Way) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 5' wide area of landscaping on the west side of El Capitan Way extending approximately 280' northward from O'Hare Avenue and approximately 525' southward from Log Cabin Way consisting of trees, shrubs, rocks, and a drip irrigation system to meet City of Las Vegas Trail System Requirements for the proposed El Capitan/O'Hare Unit 1 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (El Capitan Way north of O'Hare Avenue)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (El Capitan Way south of Log Cabin Way)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Michael J. and Robin L. Gramly, owners (southwest corner of Butler Street and Helena Avenue, APN 138-04-301-018) - County (near Ward 4 - Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect four single family dwellings located at the southwest corner of Butler Street and Helena Avenue. The owners propose to connect to an existing 8" sewer line located in Butler Street. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - G.C. Wallace, Inc. on behalf of Silverstone I, L.P., c/o Pinnacle Homes, Inc., owners (southeast corner of El Capitan Way and Ann Road, APN 125-32-501-001, 125-32-501-002, 125-32-501-012, 125-32-501-013, 125-32-501-024 and 125-32-501-025) - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect 31 single family dwellings located at the southeast corner of El Capitan Way and Ann Road. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" sewer line located in Hammer Lane. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Contract Modification #1 with Capriati Construction, to install fifty additional water laterals as part of the Mayfair Neighborhood - Phase 2 project (\$70,000 - Las Vegas Valley Water District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$70,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Las Vegas Valley Water District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Las Vegas Valley Water District has requested that the City of Las Vegas replace an additional fifty water laterals throughout the Mayfair Neighborhood - Phase 2 project. LVVWD has agreed to pay for all costs associated with this work.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contract Modification #1

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement No. 108656 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service for the Bonanza - Sandhill Park (\$208,667 - Residential Construction Tax) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$208,667

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Residential Construction Tax

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before the Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed and the required fees paid.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement No. 108656

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Designated Services Agreement with Western Technologies Inc. for the Special Inspection Services for the new Mirabelli Senior Center located at Hargrove and Garwood, within the existing Mirabelli Park site (\$35,168 - Clark County Interlocal Agreement) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,168

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CC Interlocal Agreement

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To provide the Special Inspection services required by the Building & Safety Dept., during the Construction process.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Western Technologies, Inc. for the Special Inspection services required for the new Mirabelli Senior Center in the amount of \$ 35,168.00 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$ 4,832.00.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Designated Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Fifth Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with VTN Nevada for professional services related to the continuation of engineering services in support of the construction of the Durango Drive Improvements - Lone Mountain Road to US-95 (\$150,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$150,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Fifth Amendment will secure the services of VTN Nevada to continue to provide construction support services during the construction of roadway and drainage improvements on Durango Drive and El Capitan Way, from Lone Mountain Road to US-95.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fifth Amendment to Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Designated Services Agreement with KGA Architecture for preliminary design phases for the Office District Parking Garage located at 3rd Street, between Bonneville Avenue and Garces Avenue (\$446,000 - General Obligation Parking Bonds) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$446,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Obligation Parking Bonds
(Bill No. 2002-113)

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To provide consulting services for the preliminary design phases for the development of a multi-story parking garage project to accommodate approximately 1,750 cars, retail areas and related on-site and off-site improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Service Agreement with KGA Architecture for consulting services for the Office District Parking Garage in the amount of \$446,000 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$50,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Designated Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

TABLED ITEM - R-105-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Seventy-First Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcels are located in Star Canyon by Bellacere LLC.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-105-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

TABLED ITEM - R-106-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Seventy-First Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcels are located in Star Canyon by Bellacere LLC.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-106-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-112-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Twenty-Second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Paradiso - Unit 1 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-112-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-113-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Twenty-Second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Paradiso - Unit 1 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-113-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-114-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Twenty-Third Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Barrington - Unit 2 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-114-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-115-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Twenty-Third Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Barrington - Unit 2 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-115-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-116-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Twenty-Fourth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Talaverde in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-116-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-117-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Twenty-Fourth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Talaverde in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-117-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-118-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Twenty-Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Units 2 and 3 of Village 20 - Summerlin Parcel T and U.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-118-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-119-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Twenty-Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Units 2 and 3 of Village 20 - Summerlin Parcel T and U.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-119-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **M. McDONALD** abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and **L.B. McDONALD** excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-120-2002 - Approval of a Resolution Awarding Bid for Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to Interstate 15) (\$206,361.87 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessment) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$206,361.87

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, median islands with left turn lanes and/or continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-120-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to amend a current lease application to be submitted to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for a Fire Training Center on the southwest corner of Deer Springs Way and Alpine Ridge Way to include an additional 30 acres - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The city currently has a lease application ready to submit to the BLM for 40 acres of land located on the southwest corner of Deer Springs Way and Alpine Ridge Way for a Fire Training Center. Staff would like to amend that application to include an additional 30 acres bringing the total to 70 acres of land.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to apply for a land lease for a public park located on a portion of Parcel Number 126-13-701-001 in the vicinity of Hualapai Way and Farm Road with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (\$100 - Public Works/Real Estate/Rental of Land) - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$100**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: PW/Real Estate/Rental of Land****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to secure land for future park sites in the rapidly growing northwest sector of the valley, City Staff desires to make application for 15 acres of land located in the vicinity of Hualapai Way and Farm Road.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

BLM Application

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to apply for a land lease for a public park located on a portion of Parcel Number 126-24-201-002 in the vicinity of Deer Springs Way and Shaumber Road with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (\$100 - Public Works/Real Estate/Rental of Land) - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: PW/Real Estate/Rental of Land

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In order to secure land for future park sites in the rapidly growing northwest sector of the valley, City Staff desires to make application for 15 acres of land located in the vicinity of Deer Springs Way and Shaumber Road

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

BLM Application

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for a fire hydrant to be located on Sandhill Road to serve the Bonanza Sandhill Ballfields, APN 140-31-102-002 - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of Bonanza Sandhill Ballfields. In order to have a fire hydrant to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of the water lines to the hydrant.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for a fire hydrant to be located on Bonanza Road to serve the Bonanza Sandhill Ballfields, APN 140-31-102-002 - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of Bonanza Sandhill Ballfields. In order to have a fire hydrant to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of the water lines to the hydrant.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for water lines and appurtenance(s) to serve the Bonanza Sandhill Ballfields, APN 140-31-102-002 - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of Bonanza Sandhill Ballfields. In order to have water lines and appurtenance(s) to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of the water lines and appurtenance(s).

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to sell the home located at 8690 Azure to the highest qualified buyer - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 2000, staff acquired five homes in this area in anticipation of the Durango "S" Curve road alignment. In preparation for the upcoming road construction, staff will be selling the homes acquired and retain the land for road alignment usage. The highest qualified buyer will be required to hold title to vacant land on which to place the home and must have funds to purchase, dismantle, and move the home using licensed contractors. Any incoming funds (less closing costs) will be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the sale of this property, and authorization of staff to execute all the additional documents necessary to close escrow and record title (except the Deed for the Mayor's signature.)

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Real Property between The Arts Factory, LLC, and the City of Las Vegas for the sale of City owned parcel number 139-34-410-046 located at 123 East Charleston Boulevard - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Arts Factory, who has been leasing this remnant parcel from the City, is interested in purchasing the parcel. This excess remnant acreage is not usable for future City purposes. The sale of this parcel to The Arts Factory would be in line with the revitalization of the downtown arts community and would alleviate a vacant parcel of land. By selling the parcel to The Arts Factory, they will become the responsible party for the property maintenance and the City will no longer have any liability issues.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the sale of this property, and authorize staff to execute all the additional documents necessary to close escrow and record title.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Real Property
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Consent – Public Works

Item 76 – Approval of an Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Real property between The Arts Factory, LLC, and the City of Las Vegas for the sale of City owned parcel number 139-34-410-046 located at 123 East Charleston Boulevard

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although Items 4, 5, 6, 25, and 31 relate to City-owned property in the downtown area, and MK² Advertising, with whom he is associated, recently contracted with the Horseshoe Casino, and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER acquired a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as no one affiliated with any of these casinos has approached him. Additionally, he would also be voting on Item 76, which is located near the office AMFCOR, a client of MK² Advertising, because he does not feel it will impact Mr. Stewart's business and no one has spoken to him about this request.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a lease agreement with The Neon Museum, for lease of approximately 587 square feet of office space located at Reed Whipple Cultural Center, 821 Las Vegas Boulevard North - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Neon Museum is in need of office space to maintain their operation of The Neon Museum. There is approximately 587 square feet of usable office space available at Reed Whipple which can be used to accommodate their office.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Lease Agreement
2. Site Map
3. Disclosure

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 4-25, 27, 28, and 30-77 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item No. 10 out of caution because he does not know who will be doing the paving on that project and L.B. McDONALD excused

Item 3, 26, & 29: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the Council approve each item.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:51)

1-1345

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: DOUG SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a recurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

CITY MANAGER SELBY reported that the City of Las Vegas bonds recently achieved a new high rating of AA by Fitch Ratings. This AA bond rating will lower the City's cost of borrowing money to build parks, fire stations, City facilities, and wastewater treatment facilities. These savings result from lower interest rates and lower insurance costs, as well as a higher market demand for the more highly rated bonds. The bond rating puts the City in the ranks of Clark County, Boston, and Los Angeles.

In response to the recognized need for the City of Las Vegas to be more vigilant, he mentioned that a series of roundtable discussions with all City employees would commence the following week. Also, a wallet-size list of phone numbers was put together that will be handed out to all City employees at the discussions so that they can call immediately if they have a problem to report within the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Administrative

Item 78 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEGOS, Las Vegas citizen, complained about the City running out of flu shots that morning.

There was no further discussion.

(10:15 – 10:19)

1-2580

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Robert Barragan, 1105 Princess Katy, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 82, and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 12/18/2002 and Item 88 to 11/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:46)

1-1280

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Dienesia Denice Paynes, 1001 W. McWilliams Avenue #52, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:****RECOMMENDATION:****BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to uphold the recommendation of the Metropolitan Police Department for denial – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

The appellant was present.

DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), referred to the confidential report and stated that Metro denied the work card based on a crime for moral turpitude. There is a crime of violence that addresses her suitability in working with children. He noted that the business owner agrees with Metro's position on the denial. MS. PAYNES was hired by a temporary director of the business without the knowledge or approval of the owner. He recommended denial.

MAYOR GOODMAN explained the process in detail to MS. PAYNES. He stated that this work card appeal concerned him because it involves a childcare center. Given MS. PAYNES criminal history and he indicated his support of the denial recommendation of Metro.

CAROLYN EASTERWOOD, 1720 W. Bonanza Road, said that she hired MS. PAYNES, who was forthright with her arrest background. But when she obtained the printout, there were two charges that had counter charges. The owner was unable to appear, but she advised MS. PAYNES to follow the recommendation of DETECTIVE RODD and the Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

City Attorney

Item 80 – Appeal of Work Card Denial: Dienesia Denice Paynes

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN advised MS. PAYNES to speak to DETECTIVE RODD about employment possibilities.

There was no further discussion.

(10:21 – 10:26)

1-2840

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: David S. Manes, 320 South 1st Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the appeal thereby granting the work card subject to a one-year review and site specific – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

The appellant was present.

DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), indicated that MR. MANES has committed crimes of moral turpitude, as outlined in the confidential report, and is currently on state and federal probation. His probation officers strongly support his employment, stating that it would meet both of his probationary conditions of maintaining employment and paying restitution. His employer fully supports hiring MR. MANES, as indicated in a letter submitted to Metro. He recommended a one-year, site-specific work card.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised MR. MANES that he would have to notify the Metro if his employment location changes.

There was no further discussion.

(10:26 – 10:27)

1-3093

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Bruce Joe Bolden, 1904 Holmes Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 82, and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 12/18/2002 and Item 88 to 11/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:46)

1-1280

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint against Abraham Ogbamichael and Mohamed Nagi Obeid al Dhali d/b/a Kings Market, 2333 North Martin Luther King Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend revocation of Beer/Wine/Cooler/Off-Sale License No. L10-00009-4-000034.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Complaint for Disciplinary Action
2. 10/16/2002 City Council Transcript

Submitted at the meeting: letter from Attorney Benson Lee addressed to Sr. Litigation Counsel Henry, with an attached release and five original affidavits of mailing from Sr. Litigation Counsel Henry

MOTION:

WEEKLY – approved REVOCATION of the license – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BILL HENRY, Sr. Litigation Counsel

BENSON LEE, Attorney for the Respondents

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

BETH DiFIORE, Sr. Executive Assistant, City Attorney's Office

NOAH GRIMM, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

RON FREERKSEN, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

City Attorney

Item 83 - Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint against Abraham Ogbamichael and Mohamed Nagi Obeid al Dhali d/b/a Kings Market, 2333 North Martin Luther King Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code

APPEARANCES - Continued:

STACY RODD, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

EDWARD McNEAL, owner, 2333 North Martin Luther King Boulevard

BONITA COLEMAN, 2614 Blue Reef Drive

MARY HUGHES, 1500 Elm, Apartment A

MIGUEL ORA, 2241 Comstock

ABRAHAM OGBAMICHAEL, Owner of Kings Market

ANDREW CISCO KING, 1832 Bluff Street

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN stressed his desire to see a combined City/Metro study conducted involving all wards to address problems of crime.

(10:27 – 12:18)

1-3173/2-1/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: KT Restaurants, Inc., LLC, dba Godfather's Pizza, Pat L. Kelley, Mmbr, 50%, Darren L. Taylor, Mmbr, 50%, To: Core Associates, Inc., dba Red Apple Grill, 3051 North Rainbow Blvd., John P. Baietti, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 83% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from John Baietti

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that although MR. BAIETTI is a friend of his, he would be voting, as he has not discussed the matter with MR. BAIETTI.

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the applicant qualified for a temporary license. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(12:18 – 12:19)

3-419

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Gemini, Inc., dba Lady Luck Casino, John M. Gallaway, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, LL Holding Corporation, 100%, a wholly owned subsidiary of Isle of Capri Casinos, Inc., PTC, Bernard Goldstein, Dir, COB, CEO, John M. Gallaway, Dir, Pres, COO, Allan B. Solomon, Dir, EVP, General Counsel, Secy, Rexford A. Yeisley, SVP, CFO, Treas, Timothy M. Hinkley, SVP Operations, To: Hospitality Systems, LLC, dba Lady Luck, 206 North 3rd Street, Martin R. Gross, VP, COO, Hospitality Systems Management, LLC, Mmbr, 24.5%, Investment Advisory Consultants, LLC, Mmbr, 24.5%, Beacon Bay Holdings, LLC, Mmbr, 51% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Ron Glines, Esq.

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK abstaining because his brother-in-law Andrew Donner recently signed a contract to oversee the operations at the casino, although he is not affiliated with any of these applications

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 85 – Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name to
Hospitality Systems, LLC, dba Lady Luck, 206 North Third Street

MINUTES - Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, explained that the application is for the tavern license only and the gaming portion of the Lady Luck will remain under Gemini, Inc. The applicant has been investigated and qualifies for temporary license approval. He recommended approval.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that this is going to be a great addition.

There was no further discussion.

(12:19 – 12:21)

3-458

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License, Rogelio M. Blanco, Jr., dba Rogelio M. Blanco, Jr., 217 Fig Court, Rogelio M. Blanco, Jr., 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval without further review

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK not voting

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, remarked that MR. BLANCO has stayed out of trouble; therefore, he recommended a permanent license with no further reviews.

There was no further discussion.

(12:21 – 12:22)

3-507

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a moratorium on the issuance of new licenses and change of location to existing licenses for payday loan, check cashing and auto title loan businesses

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A proliferation of new payday loan, check cashing and auto title loan businesses makes it necessary to review municipal codes which regulates these businesses to determine if concerns on the location and business practices need to be addressed in Title 6 and Title 19. Presently, zoning provisions do not exist to require conformance to neighborhood's aesthetics and no licensing provisions exist to regulate business practices.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff to seek direction for the City Council to propose code amendments at a later City Council meeting.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED a 60-day Citywide moratorium – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK abstaining out of caution because he leases space from his pawn shop on West Flamingo to a payday loan business and he and his brother Steven Mack hold pawnbroker licenses which allow auto pawn

MINUTES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, explained that the Planning Department intends to come forward at a later date with some amendments to the zoning code with regard to concerns of the community and the industry.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that the matter was scheduled before the Planning Commission the following day. If approved, the matter should appear before the Council within about a month.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 87 – Discussion and possible action regarding a moratorium on the issuance of new licenses and change of location to existing licenses for payday loan, check cashing and auto title loan businesses

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that the industry requested this matter be put on the agenda for discussion. MR. GENZER has some great ideas for curtailing these types of businesses. He anticipates an agreement should be reached expeditiously.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that he knows a couple of applicants that would like to be involved in the discussions.

MR. GENZER noted that those currently in the system will not be affected.

There was no further discussion.

(12:22 – 12:23)

3-538

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Report on the status of the Community Schools Transition Plan

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services/Recreation

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On August 20, 2001, the City of Las Vegas assumed 100% fiscal and administrative controls over the Community Schools. This report reflects the Community Schools' accomplishments and future expansion concepts.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 82, and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 12/18/2002 and Item 88 to 11/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:46)

1-1280

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding an Agreement between the Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County's Child Care Assistance Division (EOB CCAD) and the City of Las Vegas awarding the City \$280,000 in Child Care Improvement Grant (CCIG) funds - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Child Care Improvement Grant created in 1997 is a proactive approach to addressing the rising concerns of quality childcare in Las Vegas. The program enhances the quality of childcare services by providing mini-grants up to \$5,000. The grant funding can be used towards facility rehabilitation, including interior and exterior upgrades such as flooring and landscaping. More importantly, Neighborhood Services works closely with the CLV Childcare Licensing Division and Clark County Health District to ensure providers meet and comply with required regulations.

To date, the City of Las Vegas has received \$731,000 for the CCIG program. Seventy-five childcare providers and over 3,000 children have benefited from safer childcare environments, access to technology and outstanding education programs. The CCIG program has been awarded an additional \$280,000 for FY 2002-03.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Manager recommends City Council approval to enter into an Agreement for Quality Enhancement Programs and Services with the Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County's Child Care Assistance Division (EOB CCAD) in the amount of \$280,000 and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for Quality Enhancement Programs and Services
Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 89 – Discussion and possible action regarding an Agreement between the Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County's Child Care Assistance Division (EOB CCAD) and the City of Las Vegas awarding the City \$280,000 in Child Care Improvement Grant (CCIG) funds

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, noted that this is the fifth year that the City of Las Vegas has worked with the Economic Opportunity Board in improving childcare facilities and the education that is being provided in these facilities.

LISA MORRIS, Neighborhood Services, said that this program, which has been in place since 1997, has been funded each year. The success of this program has lead to its replication Statewide. The program is continuously being improved and Neighborhood Services staff works very closely with the Child Care Licensing Division and the Clark County Health District to help childcare providers maintain licensing requirements. MS. SEGERBLOM added that Neighborhood Services has a real good partnership with the Child Care Licensing Division on this program, which has helped to make sure that proper licensing is obtained and retained and to help Child Care Licensing staff become better educated on needs and trends in childcare.

Using a PowerPoint presentation, MS. MORRIS described various improvements that were made to various childcare facilities, which demonstrate the big difference a \$5,000 mini-grant can make to a facility.

MS. MORRIS thanked TIFFANY JACKSON, Program Coordinator, who was unable to attend this meeting because she is attending a childcare workshop in Reno.

There was no further discussion.

(9:50 – 9:54/10:08 – 10:15)

1-1500/1-2240

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the recommendation of lands to be disposed of by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in the November 2003 Public Sale - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Staff is recommending three (3) parcels for disposal at the November 2003 BLM land sale. The combined total of these parcels is approximately 42 gross acres in size, and includes lands identified as portions of Priority Groupings #12 and #13 that have not been identified for schools, parks, or other public facilities. These parcels are already annexed and located in areas appropriate for disposal and development.

Although parcels from these Priority Groupings were not originally scheduled to be nominated at this time, the larger than anticipated Spring 2003 nomination has presented the opportunity to amend the nomination schedule. Therefore, in an effort to reflect current conditions, we are working on revisions to the Priority Groupings map which we will be presenting at later date.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo (parcel listing)
2. Fall 2002 BLM Disposal Nominations maps (2)

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

SEAN ROBERTSON, Planning and Development Department, explained that this matter involves a list of proposed parcels for disposal by the Bureau of Land Management in the November 2003 public sale. He clarified that the parcels are quantified as gross acreage because they are going to be subject to reservations for right-of-way and drainage and a portion of it is going to be reserved for a park and detention basin. The parcels are within the City limits and in areas appropriate for development.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Planning and Development

Item 90 – Discussion and possible action on the recommendation of lands to be disposed of by the Bureau of Land Management in the November 2003 Public Sale

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(12:23 – 12:25)

3-637

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** ROBERT S. GENZER ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the Agreement regarding Conformity of City of Las Vegas Plans With the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In accordance with N.R.S. 278.02514 - 278.02584, all master plans, facilities plans and similar plans adopted by the City must be in substantial conformance with the adopted Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan. The City has ratified a process to review plans for conformity. As part of that process the City completed a self-assessment, revised the self- assessment, and discussed with the SNRPC consultants actions to be taken by the City to achieve further conformance with the Regional Policy Plan.

The conformance agreement is intended to memorialize those actions that the City will pursue to bring its plans and activities into conformity with the Regional Policy Plan over the next several years, and serve as a way to assess progress by the City in furthering the Regional Policy Plan when conformity review is conducted again in five years.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement Regarding Conformity of City of Las Vegas Plans With the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

FRANK FIORI, Planning and Development Department, stated that this matter entails a conformance agreement with the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition, which was reviewed by staff and is recommended for approval, as it will bring the City into full conformance with NRS as it relates to all master plans and facilities plans. The document contains three sections: 1) those items with which the City is already in conformance; 2) those areas that are already being adopted by adopting the Regional Policy Plan; and 3) those areas agreed upon by the City to achieve further conformance with the Regional Policy Plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Planning and Development

Item 91 – Discussion and possible action on the Agreement regarding Conformity of City of Las Vegas Plans with the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(12:25 – 12:27)

3-691

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Report on the Bonneville/Clark and Casino Center/Fourth Street One-Way Couplet Project - Wards 1 and 5 (M. McDonald and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A PowerPoint presentation will be given to update the Mayor and Council on the Bonneville/Clark and Casino Center/Fourth Street One-Way Couplet Project

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

GOODMAN – STRICKEN – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER SELBY recommended this matter be stricken, as additional information was received regarding how couplets and downtown revitalization might be linked. This information needs to be reviewed before it is presented to the Council for consideration.

There was no further discussion.

(12:27)

3-743

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-121-2002 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution reestablishing the Traffic Signal Capital Improvements Advisory Committee in conjunction with the City's Traffic Signal Capital Improvements Plan

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to proceed with the implementation of an impact fee system for traffic signals, the City has previously designated the Traffic and Parking Commission as the Traffic Signal Capital Improvements Advisory Committee for traffic signal impact fees. This resolution rescinds R-34-2002 and reestablishes the Committee with a membership to be comprised of nine members to be appointed by the City Council, including two or more representatives from the residential and commercial building industries. Committee members are to serve at the pleasure of the City Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution R-121-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK not voting

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, stated this item is in order.

There was no further discussion.

(12:27 – 12:30)

3-763

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-122-2002 - Public hearing and possible action regarding a temporary interfund loan from the City Facilities Capital Projects Fund (CPF) to the City's Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 for a period not to exceed one year - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: City Affordable Housing SRF

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: City Facilities CPF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Section 350.150 authorizes temporary interfund loans. The proceeds of this loan will be used to purchase land adjacent to existing redevelopment property for the purpose of developing affordable housing for senior citizens..

RECOMMENDATION:

Following the public discussion, it is recommended that the City Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-122-2002

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK abstaining because his brother Steven Mack owns property on Decatur and Vegas Drive

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that he could vote on this matter even though it is a project that he started working on with COUNCILMAN REESE in 1997 and it involves the neighborhood in which he was born and raised.

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, commented that approval will allow a temporary loan to finance the projects involved in Items 97, 98, and 99. This loan should be replaced with a short-term bank note within about 45 days. Staff intends to issue a series of notes over a five-year period that will be paid off with affordable housing set aside funds.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Finance and Business Services
Item 94 – R-122-2002

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN said that he has driven the area and questioned what is intended for the apartment units located west of this property. COUNCILMAN McDONALD responded that Code Enforcement Officers and the recently created homeowners association for the area have created a great synergy in monitoring the area and trying to address the problems.

There was no further discussion.

(12:33 – 12:34)

3-923

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Cedric Cole,
Term Expiration 3-24-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Mr. Cole has resigned. His term will expire March 24, 2003. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. It will be necessary to recommend an appointee to fill Mr. Cole's unexpired term. At the Council Meeting of October 16, 2002, this item was abeyed to November 6, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to recommend an appointee to fill Mr. Cole's unexpired term.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services
 2. Current Listing and Authority-Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
 3. Board Interest Form – Derick Wickliffe
 4. Letter of resignation from Cedric Cole
- Submitted at the meeting: Memorandum with attached Biographical Notes for Charles Fogar from Councilman Weekly dated 11/4/2002

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to APPOINT CHARLES FOGAR, 1121 Tumbleweed Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:33 – 12:34)
3-923

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

Discussion and possible action on the appointment of nine committee members to the Traffic Signal Capital Improvements Advisory Committee - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic/Eng. Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In accordance with NRS 278B.150, to proceed with the implementation of an impact fees system, a capital improvement advisory committee must be designated by the City of Las Vegas. With the action today, the City is reestablishing a Traffic Signal Capital Improvement Advisory Committee consisting of seven members and two members from the development industry. The two development industry appointees were specifically named by the organizations they represent as the proposed representatives to this committee. This agenda item is related to R-121-2002 being considered today.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended by the Department of Public Works that the City Council appoint nine members to the Traffic Signal Capital Improvement Advisory Committee. The duration of the appointments shall be at the pleasure of the City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Recommended Membership List

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT the recommended membership – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN listed the recommended members. RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, clarified that the list includes the current Traffic and Parking Commission members and the representatives of the Southern Nevada Homebuilders Association and the National Association of Industrial and Office Professionals.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Boards and Commissions

Item 96 – Discussion and possible action on the appointment of nine committee members to the Traffic Signal Capital Improvements Advisory Committee

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(12:34 – 12:35)

3-945

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action on a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Diane and Stanley Kloza for real property consisting of 40 separate parcels depicted on Exhibit "B" on purchase contract located within Shalimar Gardens, in the vicinity of Laurelhurst Drive and Westmoreland Drive, for \$1,600,000 plus closing costs - Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund (SRF) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,600,000 + closing costs☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Affordable Housing SRF**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The 40 parcels are condo units located on Laurelhurst Dr./Westmoreland Dr. The present owner is currently in bankruptcy. Over 90% of the units are vacant & in disrepair, creating a safety & health problem to the area. Because a majority of the units are owned by one owner, it has made it impossible for a credible condo association of all of the owners in the area to organize, thus most of the other properties are in disrepair as well & subject to many building code violations. The property will need to be developed as affordable housing, otherwise the AH-SRF will need to be reimbursed.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the purchase of this property, and authorization of staff to execute all the additional documents necessary to close escrow and record title.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK abstaining because his brother Steven Mack owns property on Decatur and Vegas Drive

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that this matter involves property that is close to the neighborhood where he was raised and his parents still live there. He verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that he could vote.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Real Estate

Item 97 – Discussion and possible action on a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Diane and Stanley Kloza for real property consisting of 40 separate parcels depicted on Exhibit "B" on purchase contract located within Shalimar Gardens, in the vicinity of Laurelhurst Drive and Westmoreland Drive, for \$1,600,000 plus closing costs - Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund (SRF)

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS indicated that items 97, 98, and 99 involve the purchase of 42 properties. These properties are strategic to existing City-owned property as part of a redevelopment project concerning senior affordable housing.

There was no further discussion.

(12:35 – 12:39)

3-1005

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action on a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Felice and Tara M. Baldasarro, Co-Trustees of the Baldasarro 2000 Community Property Trust for real property known as Parcel Number 138-25-515-007 located at 1509 Laurelhurst Drive Unit 7 for \$54,000 plus closing costs - Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund (SRF) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$54,000 + closing costs☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Affordable Housing SRF**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This parcel is a condo unit located on Laurelhurst Dr./Westmoreland Dr. The present owner of 40 parcels is currently in bankruptcy. Over 90% of the units are vacant & in disrepair, creating a safety & health problem to the area. Because a majority of the units are owned by one owner, it has made it impossible for a credible condo association of all of the owners in the area to organize, thus most of the properties are in disrepair as well and subject to many building code violations. The property will need to be developed as affordable housing, otherwise the AH-SRF will need to be reimbursed.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the purchase of this property, and authorization of staff to execute all the additional documents necessary to close escrow and record title.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK abstaining because his brother Steven Mack owns property on Decatur and Vegas Drive

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that this matter involves property that is close to the neighborhood where he was raised and his parents still live there. He verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that he could vote.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Public Works

Item 98 - Discussion and possible action on a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Felice and Tara M. Baldasarro, Co-Trustees of the Baldasarro 2000 Community Property Trust for real property known as Parcel Number 138-25-515-007 located at 1509 Laurelhurst Drive Unit 7 for \$54,000 plus closing costs - Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund (SRF)

MINUTES:

See related Item 97 for all discussion.

(12:35 – 12:39)

3-1022

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action on a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Charles E. and Sharon Sinky for real property known as Parcel Number 138-25-515-005 located at 1509 Laurelhurst Drive Unit 5 for \$52,000 plus closing costs - Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund (SRF) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$52,000 + closing costs☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Affordable Housing SRF**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This parcel is a condo unit located on Laurelhurst Dr./Westmoreland Dr. The present owner of 40 parcels is currently in bankruptcy. Over 90% of the units are vacant & in disrepair, creating a safety & health problem to the area. Because a majority of the units are owned by one owner, it has made it impossible for a credible condo association of all of the owners in the area to organize, thus most of the properties are in disrepair as well and subject to many building code violations. The property will need to be developed as affordable housing, otherwise the AH-SRF will need to be reimbursed.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the purchase of this property, and authorization of staff to execute all the additional documents necessary to close escrow and record title.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and MACK abstaining because his brother Steven Mack owns property on Decatur and Vegas Drive

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that this matter involves property that is close to the neighborhood where he was raised and his parents still live there. He verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that he could vote.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Real Estate

Item 99 - Discussion and possible action on a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Charles E. and Sharon Sinky for real property known as Parcel Number 138-25-515-005 located at 1509 Laurelhurst Drive Unit 5 for \$52,000 plus closing costs - Affordable Housing Special Revenue Fund (SRF)

MINUTES - Continued:

See related Item 97 for all discussion.

(12:35 – 12:39)

3-1022

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action to direct staff to proceed with the process of amending the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions for the Las Vegas Technology Center to permit the sale of the open space common areas totaling approximately 7.0 acres (APN#138-15-710-028, APN#138-15-810-013) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CCRs) for the Las Vegas Technology Center (LVTC) require that an amendment to the CCRs be signed by the property owners and first lien holders of not less than 70% of the total area (on a square footage basis) in the LVTC, and that said amendment be recorded with the County Recorder before the sale of the open space common areas would be permitted. The sale of the common areas would allow opportunities for additional commercial development and job creation, as well as, a potential funding source for traffic improvements in the LVTC.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 11/4/2002 Real Estate Committee recommends approval. Staff requested the Council provide staff with direction

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Site map

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion authorizing staff to initiate correspondence with the LVTC so that they can begin their internal process for the sale of this property, that staff identify the measures needed to be taken by the City in preparation for the sale, and that Public Works determine the infrastructure improvements needed in the Tenaya Corridor, with direction that any remaining funds from this sale be redirected toward the park project fund – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002

Business Development

Item 100 – Discussion and possible action to direct staff to proceed with the process of amending the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions for the Las Vegas Technology Center to permit the sale of the open space common areas totaling approximately 7.0 acres (APN#138-15-710-028, APN#138-15-810-013)

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Business Development, commented that the Property Owners Association Board made the request for the sale of this property in order that the revenues may be used toward traffic improvements around the park. Selling either of the two parcels of open space would require an extensive process, where 71% of the property owners within the Hi-Tech Park as well as 70% or greater of the first lien holders of those properties would have to sign consent forms. One property has been trying to obtain signatures in order for the City to be able to release and sell a small parcel. The City would stand to generate \$3.3 million in revenue from the sale of these parcels.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC pointed out that since how the proceeds from the sale will be distributed has not been noticed and posted, a forthcoming item would have to be scheduled. At this time, direction can be given to staff.

There was no further discussion.

(12:39 – 12:43)

3-1126

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-105 – Adopts the 2003 Edition of the Southern Nevada Pool Code. Proposed by:
Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2003 Edition of the Southern Nevada Pool Code. The Code reflects area local governments' uniform approach to the regulation of swimming pools and spas.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-105

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5525 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that he has known ROCCO PROC for many years, but he did not feel that that would impede his ability to remain impartial.

MINUTES:

PAUL WILKINS, Director, TOM GUGINO, Senior Plumbing & Mechanical Inspector, and RANDY HUNTER, Sr. Electrical Inspector, both of the Building and Safety Department, were present. MR. WILKINS stated that some of the representatives of the building industry were present to express their support of the code changes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 101 – Bill No. 2002-105

MINUTES – Continued:

ROCO PROC, Master Electrician, Efficient Electric, MICHAEL FLETCHER, General Manager of Construction, Anthony Sylvan Pools, RICHARD LYLE, Executive Director, Mechanical Contractors Association, SHERRY HERNANDEZ, Plumbing and Mechanical Contractors Association of Nevada, ROBERT NARD, Plumbers and Pipe Fitters, Local 525, and Southern Nevada Building Construction Trades Council, and TY HALVERSON, Southwest Air Conditioning, appeared to express their support of the various code changes.

MAYOR GOODMAN commended MR. WILKINS for all the positive feedback from the industry.

MR. HALVERSON gave accolades to City Building and Safety staff for their efforts to include all the building trades and to be uniform throughout the Valley. Clark County and the other local municipalities are also looking into making the same code adoptions to be uniform.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY gave thanks to the building industry for taking the time to appear before the Council and express their support.

See Item No. 104 for related discussion.

(12:43 – 12:47)

3-1288

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-106 – Adopts the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Plumbing Code, together with amendments thereto, as the City's Plumbing Code. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Plumbing Code, along with local amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-106

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a Second Amendment as Ordinance No. 5526 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that he has known ROCCO PROC for many years, but he did not feel that that would impede his ability to remain impartial.

MINUTES:

PAUL WILKINS, Director, TOM GUGINO, Senior Plumbing & Mechanical Inspector, and RANDY HUNTER, Sr. Electrical Inspector, both of the Building and Safety Department, were present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 102 – Bill No. 2002-106

MINUTES – Continued:

ROCO PROC, Master Electrician, Efficient Electric, MICHAEL FLETCHER, General Manager of Construction, Anthony Sylvan Pools, RICHARD LYLE, Executive Director, Mechanical Contractors Association, SHERRY HERNANDEZ, Plumbing and Mechanical Contractors Association of Nevada, ROBERT NARD, Plumbers and Pipe Fitters, Local 525, and Southern Nevada Building Construction Trades Council, and TY HALVERSON, Southwest Air Conditioning, appeared to express their support of the various code changes.

CHIEF CIVIL DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that at the Recommending Committee meeting a change was added to Section 603.4.13 on Page 8 of the supplemental document and recommended a second amendment to include Section 609.3.3 on Page 9. He read those Sections.

See Item Nos. 101 and 104 for related discussion.

(12:47 – 12:50)

3-1446

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-107 – Adopts the 2002 Edition of the National Electrical Code, together with amendments and supplementary material. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2002 Edition of the National Electrical Code, along with local amendments and supplements thereto.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-107

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5527 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that he has known ROCCO PROC for many years, but he did not feel that that would impede his ability to remain impartial.

MINUTES:

PAUL WILKINS, Director, TOM GUGINO, Senior Plumbing & Mechanical Inspector, and RANDY HUNTER, Sr. Electrical Inspector, both of the Building and Safety Department, were present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 103 – Bill No. 2002-107

MINUTES – Continued:

ROCO PROC, Master Electrician, Efficient Electric, MICHAEL FLETCHER, General Manager of Construction, Anthony Sylvan Pools, RICHARD LYLE, Executive Director, Mechanical Contractors Association, SHERRY HERNANDEZ, Plumbing and Mechanical Contractors Association of Nevada, ROBERT NARD, Plumbers and Pipe Fitters, Local 525, and Southern Nevada Building Construction Trades Council, and TY HALVERSON, Southwest Air Conditioning, appeared to express their support of the various code changes.

See Item Nos. 101 and 104 for related discussion.

(12:50)

3-1525

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-108 – Adopts the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Mechanical Code and certain Appendices, together with amendments thereto, as the City's Mechanical Code. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Mechanical Code, along with local amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-108

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5528 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that he has known ROCCO PROC for many years, but he did not feel that that would impede his ability to remain impartial.

MINUTES:

PAUL WILKINS, Director, TOM GUGINO, Senior Plumbing & Mechanical Inspector, and RANDY HUNTER, Sr. Electrical Inspector, both of the Building and Safety Department, were present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 104 – Bill No. 2002-108

MINUTES – Continued:

ROCO PROC, Master Electrician, Efficient Electric, MICHAEL FLETCHER, General Manager of Construction, Anthony Sylvan Pools, RICHARD LYLE, Executive Director, Mechanical Contractors Association, SHERRY HERNANDEZ, Plumbing and Mechanical Contractors Association of Nevada, ROBERT NARD, Plumbers and Pipe Fitters, Local 525, and Southern Nevada Building Construction Trades Council, and TY HALVERSON, Southwest Air Conditioning, appeared to express their support of the various code changes.

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street, noted that codes are useless if they are not enforced.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that so many times the government is criticized for its bureaucracy and if MR. WILKINS and his staff had not worked with the industry on these code changes for the past year and a half, the City would have been all over the newspapers. He thanked Building and Safety staff for working with the industry and having its act together. He also thanked the industry for its cooperation.

See Item No. 101 for related discussion.

(12:50 – 12:52)

3-1545

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-109 – Adopts the Conservation Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will adopt the Conservation Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. The Conservation Element is being added to the City's Master Plan in accordance with State law, and addresses the items required by statute to be included.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-109

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5529 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, recognized GARY LEOBOLD, who was the lead planner on the Conservation Element. He spent a lot of time drafting and redrafting the document.

At the request of MAYOR GOODMAN, MR. GENZER reported on the status of air quality. CHRISTINE ROBINSON, Clark County Air Quality Department, indicated that she is expecting approval of both the carbon monoxide and PM-10 State implementation plans from the Environmental Protection Agency by the end of this month. MS. ROBINSON indicated that there are no recent non-attainment days for carbon monoxide, only a few for PM-10, but that was expected and have not created any negative situations. Also, the eight-hour attainment for ozone is being met.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 105 – Bill No. 2002-109

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if a board was formed on this matter, because there was extensive conversation at Clark County where it was decided not to have representatives on an air quality board and only have it presented as a report. MR. GENZER said that he was not familiar with anything concerning this. MAYOR GOODMAN interjected that there came a time when the County took away the air quality issue from the City. As a result of that, he and DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL met with the governor and informed them that it was not acceptable to the City and requested a hearing meeting.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commended MR. GENZER and his staff for all the work they do that is rarely noticed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL to get the details of the full conversation that was held at the County meeting regarding the air quality issue and to brief the Council members.

There was no further discussion.

(12:52 – 12:56)
3-1630

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-110 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Fire Refunding Bonds, Series 2002C, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project plus the cost of issuance of the Bonds, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Various Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 4.015% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-110

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5530 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that this involves the refunding of bonds under Items 106, 107, and 108 for a savings in interest of about \$2 million over the next ten years. Item 109 entails a new bond issue to take advantage of the lower interest rates and the City's new higher AA rating.

There was no further discussion.

(12:56 – 12:58)

3-1787

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-111 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Sewer Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2002B, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Various Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 4.232% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-111

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5531 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, was present.

See Item 106 for related discussion.

(12:58 – 1:00)

3-1894

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-112 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Transportation Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2002D, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Various Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 3.132% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-112

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5532 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, was present.

See Item 106 for related discussion.

(1:00 – 1:01)

3-1935

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-113 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Parking Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2002A, not to exceed \$25,000,000, for the purpose of defraying wholly or in part the cost of acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving and equipping building projects in the City, including without limitation, buildings to accommodate offstreet parking projects - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Ward 3 (Reese) **NOTE: The correct Ward designation is Ward 1 (M. McDonald)**

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$25,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Parking Enterprise Fund

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parking Revenues

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City received approval from the Clark County Debt Management Commission on April 5, 2002 to proceed with this bond issue. The proceeds of this bond issue will be used for the construction of the parking garage(s) downtown to accommodate the Regional Justice Center.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-113

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5533 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, was present.

See Item 106 for related discussion.

(1:01 – 1:02)

3-1983

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-116 – Annexation No. A-0014-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 670 feet east of Puli Road; Petitioned by: Southwest Desert Equities, LLC; Acreage: 5.38 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent).
Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 670 feet east of Puli Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-116 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5534 – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:02 – 1:03)

3-2035

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-117 – Annexation No. A-0021-02(A) – Property location: On the southwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Road; Petitioned by: SPKQL8R, LLC; Acreage: 5.05 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (L-TC) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-117 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5535 – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:03)

3-2055

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-119 – Annexation No. A-0027-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 330 feet east of Puli Road; Petitioned by: William Parker; Acreage: 5.39 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 330 feet east of Puli Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-119 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5536 – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:03 – 1:04)

3-2074

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-121 - Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Taxable General Obligation (Limited Tax) Fremont Street Experience Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured with Pledged Revenues) Series 2002, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Ward 1 (M. McDonald) **NOTE: The correct Ward designation is Wards 1 and 5 (M. McDonald and Weekly)**

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 5.07% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-121

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5537 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother-in-law Andrew Donner is the new casino operator at the Lady Luck and MK² Advertising, for whom he consults, has a contract with the Horseshoe Hotel and Casino, he would be voting, as he has not had any discussions about this item and there is no conflict.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 113 – Bill No. 2002-121

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(1:04)

3-2097

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-114 – Annexation No. A-0010-02(A) – Property location: 330 feet south of Alexander Road and 1,400 feet west of Hualapai Way; Petitioned by: Southwest Desert Equities, LLC; Acreage: 2.64 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 330 feet south of Alexander Road and 1,400 feet west of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/20/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 11/8/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-115 – Annexation No. A-0012-02(A) – Property location: 290 feet south of Alexander Road and 300 feet west of Cimarron Road; Petitioned by: Alexander and Phillip Mackovski; Acreage: 2.66 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 290 feet south of Alexander Road and 300 feet west of Cimarron Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/20/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 11/8/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-118 – Annexation No. A-0026-02(A) – Property location: On the east side of Fort Apache Road, 660 feet south of Elkhorn Road; Petitioned by: David B. Ober Family Trust, et al.; Acreage: 5.09 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML-TC) (City equivalent).
Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Fort Apache Road, 660 feet south of Elkhorn Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/20/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 11/8/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-120 – Annexation No. A-0028-02(A) – Property location: On the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Horse Drive; Petitioned by: Miceli Family Trust, et al.; Acreage: 10.56 acres; Zoned: R-E / RNP 1 (County zoning), U (DR) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Horse Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/20/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/4/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/16/2002; First Publication – 11/8/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-122 - Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered Local Improvement District Bonds Series 2002, for Special Improvement District (SID) numbers 1463, 1470, 1471, 1473, 1477 in an amount not to exceed \$4,750,500 - Various Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$4,750,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: SID assessments in the respective districts

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has created the improvement districts in compliance with NRS 271. NRS Chapter 348 authorizes the issuance of bonds for the SID districts. The terms of the bonds will range between ten and twenty years and be funded with the SID assessments in the respective districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-122

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-123 – Annexation No. A-0003-02(A) – Property location: On the west side of Jones Boulevard, approximately 1,300 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue; Petitioned by: Kenneth and Myrna Christensen; Acreage: 0.74 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Jones Boulevard, approximately 1,300 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 13, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-123 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-124 – Annexation No. A-0004-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Oakey Boulevard, 600 feet east of Jones Boulevard; Petitioned by: John Rohay; Acreage: 0.72 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael McDonald

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Oakey Boulevard, 600 feet east of Jones Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 13, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-124 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-125 – Annexation No. A-0006-02(A) – Property location: On the northwest corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Farm Road; Petitioned by: Ralph L. and Marcella V. Cooper 1992 Living Trust; Acreage: 2.52 acres; Zoned: R-E/RNP-1 (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Farm Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 13, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-125 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-126 – Annexation No. A-0007-02(A) – Property location: Near the southeast corner of O'Bannon Drive and Mohawk Street; Petitioned by: Charlene Williams, et al.; Acreage: 1.27 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael McDonald

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located near the southeast corner of O'Bannon Drive and Mohawk Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 13, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-126 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-127 – Annexation No. A-0022-02(A) – Property location: On the east side of Queen Irene Court, 200 feet south of Regena Avenue; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas, as previous owner; Acreage: 0.46 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Queen Irene Court, 200 feet south of Regena Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the City as predecessor-in-interest of the current property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 13, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-127 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-128 – Annexation No. A-0023-02(A) – Property location: On the north side of Wittig Avenue, 660 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned by: Pardee Homes of Nevada; Acreage: 2.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (L) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Wittig Avenue, 660 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 13, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-128 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-129 – Designates Neighborhood Services as the departmental liaison for the Senior Citizens Advisory Board. Proposed by: Elizabeth Fretwell, Deputy City Manager

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's Office of Administrative Services has been serving as the liaison for the Senior Citizens Advisory Board. This bill will transfer that function to the Department of Neighborhood Services.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-129

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-130 – Updates various design standards adopted as part of the Downtown Centennial Plan and applicable to the Downtown Overlay District. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will make minor technical changes to the language of the design standards that are adopted as part of the Downtown Centennial Plan and are applicable to the Downtown Overlay District.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-130

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-131 – Updates the zoning regulations pertaining to temporary commercial uses.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adjust the zoning treatment of a number of temporary commercial outdoor uses. The intent is to streamline the approval process for these uses while ensuring that their operation will be compatible with surrounding areas.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-131

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-132 – Allows the sale of motorcycles and motor scooters in the C-1 Zoning District by means of special use permit. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The sale of motorcycles and motor scooters currently is not allowed in the C-1 Zoning District. In order to conform with other area jurisdictions, this bill will allow the use in the C-1 District by means of special use permit, subject to minimum standards to ensure compatibility.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-132

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-133 – Adopts the latest revision to the Uniform Regulations for the Control of Drainage. Proposed by: Richard D. Goecke, Director of Public Works

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the request of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, area local governments have been asked to update their drainage control regulations relating to the extended parking of certain recreational vehicles. The Clark County Regional Flood Control District has amended the Uniform Regulations for the Control of Drainage in that regard, and this bill follows up by including the revision as part of the Municipal Code.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-133

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/18/2002 Recommending Committee

11/20/2002 Council Agenda

(1:05 – 1:07)

3-2168

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:07 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

The applicant for Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02] and Item 152 [U-0073-02] submitted a letter to table these items. A copy of the letter is filed under Item 150 [V-0037-02].

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested Item 137 [Z-0072-00 (2)] be pulled forward to allow the Principal of the Andre Agassi College Preparatory Academy to return to school to dismiss his students for the day.

STEVE HANSON, Telos Enterprizes Inc., submitted a letter requesting Item 164 [U-0121-02] be held in abeyance until 12/4/2002. A copy of the letter is filed under Item 164 [U-0121-02].

MR. RYAN thanked the Council for holding Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] in abeyance.

There was no further discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 3936 Alameda Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: JASON DUCKSWORTH - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,751.00☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Capriati Construction Corporation to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding all windows and doors; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,751.00 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video and photographs shown but not submitted

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 131 – 3936 Alameda Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, showed photographs and presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. MR. SEMENZA commented that his department received a request from the Metropolitan Police Department to board up the subject building due to illegal gang activities taking place on the property. Pursuant to Title 9.04086, the building was boarded up without prior notice to the property owner to alleviate further invasion. The Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, Capriati Construction Corporation was hired to abate the problem. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,751.00 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:49 – 1:51)

4-175

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

- EXTENSION OF TIME - VARIANCE
132 V-0032-00(1) - City of Las Vegas Housing Authority
133 V-0034-00(1) - City of Las Vegas Housing Authority

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW
134 SD-0046-02 - Mary Bartsas on behalf of Subway of Nevada, Limited Liability Company

- WAIVER OF THE SUBDIVISION STANDARDS (TITLE 18) - PUBLIC HEARING
135 WVR-0005-02 - Pardee Homes of Nevada

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
136 Z-0137-94(5) - Ronald and Judith Vitto, et al on behalf of James E. Stroh, Architects, Inc.
137 Z-0072-00(2) - City of Las Vegas on behalf of Charter School Development Foundation
138 Z-0044-01(1) - Buffalo Washington, Limited Liability Company on behalf of the Longford Group

- MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
139 MSP-0008-02 - Moneytree, Inc. on behalf of Robert Ballew

- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
140 VAC-0064-02 - U.S. Home Corporation
141 VAC-0065-02 - El Capitan Associates, Limited Liability Company
142 VAC-0066-02 - McNamee Family Partnership
143 VAC-0067-02 - Log Cabin Way, Limited Liability Company
144 VAC-0068-02 - M.B. Holdings, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
145 VAC-0069-02 - P N II, Inc.
146 VAC-0070-02 - Cornerstone Company on behalf of Chetak Development
147 VAC-0071-02 - Salvation Army

- REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
148 V-0086-95(2) - Randy Black, Sr. on behalf of Susa Partnership

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of November 6, 2002

- REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 149 **V-0066-97(1)** - Reese Family Trust
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 150 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0037-02** - I and K Holdings, Limited Liability Company
- 151 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0038-02** - I and K Holdings, Limited Liability Company
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0037-02 AND V-0038-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 152 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0073-02** - I and K Holdings, Limited Liability Company
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 153 **V-0057-02** - Robert and Virginia Good
- 154 **V-0063-02** - Rafael Ruiz
- 155 **V-0067-02** - Valerie L. Juick
- 156 **V-0068-02** - Schnippel Family Limited Partnership on behalf of Nevada Hand
- 157 **V-0070-02** - John Amore
- REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 158 **U-0027-95(2)** - Steven and Raynell Phillips on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising
- 159 **U-0041-95(2)** - Boys Club of Clark County, Inc. on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 160 **U-0115-02** - Dana Kanne, et al on behalf of PMD Associates Limited Liability Company
- 161 **U-0117-02** - Lodge Las Vegas Shrine on behalf of Luis Pedemonte
- 162 **U-0119-02** - I Rent B & E, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0119-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 163 **SD-0041-02** - I Rent B & E, Limited Liability Company
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 164 **U-0121-02** - Melvin and Darla Turner on behalf of Telos Enterprises, Inc.
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 165 **Z-0061-02** - KB Home Nevada, Inc., et al
- 166 **Z-0078-02** - KB Home Nevada, Inc.

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of November 6, 2002

- 167 VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0061-02 AND Z-0078-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 V-0051-02 - KB Home Nevada, Inc., et al
- 168 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0061-02, Z-0078-02 AND
 V-0051-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1) - KB Home Nevada, Inc., et al
- 169 REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0054-02 - Nellis Land Company
- 170 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0054-02 - PUBLIC
 HEARING
 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0054-02(1) - Nellis Land Company
- 171 REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0065-02 - Shiron Corporation
- 172 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0065-02 - PUBLIC
 HEARING
 Z-0065-02(1) - Shiron Corporation
- 173 REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0069-02 - Concordia Homes Nevada, Inc.
- 174 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0069-02 - PUBLIC
 HEARING
 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0069-02(1) - Concordia Homes Nevada, Inc.
- 175 REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0070-02 - Greater New Jerusalem Missionary Baptist Church
- 176 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0070-02 - PUBLIC
 HEARING
 Z-0070-02(1) - Greater New Jerusalem Missionary Baptist Church
- 177 REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0076-02 - Rose Gravante on behalf of RL Homes

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of November 6, 2002

- VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0076-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
178 **VAR-1006** - Rose Gravante on behalf of RL Homes
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0076-02 AND VAR-1006 - PUBLIC HEARING
179 **SDR-1002** - Rose Gravante on behalf of RL Homes
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
180 **Z-0080-02** - Patrick and Cecilia Differ
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0080-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
181 **SD-0037-02** - Patrick and Cecilia Differ
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
182 **GPA-0031-02** - Johnson Family Trust on behalf of Joe Risner
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0031-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
183 **Z-0074-02** - Johnson Family Trust on behalf of Joe Risner
- NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 3:00PM**
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
184 **GPA-0023-02** - Willard R. and Mary Virginia Jones 1990 Trust
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0023-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
185 **Z-0048-02** - Willard R. and Mary Virginia Jones 1990 Trust
- VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0023-02 AND Z-0048-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
186 **V-0071-02** - Willard R. and Mary Virginia Jones 1990 Trust
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0023-02, Z-0048-02 AND V-0071-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
187 **Z-0048-02(1)** - Willard R. and Mary Virginia Jones 1990 Trust

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - VARIANCE - V-0032-00(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS HOUSING AUTHORITY - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Variance (V-0032-00) which allowed a reduction of the minimum residential lot size on 8.88 acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of 28th Street and Sunrise Avenue (APN: 139-36-303-003), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 132 [V-0032-00(1)] and Item 133 [V-0034-00(1)] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

(1:51 – 1:52)

4-291

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time will expire on August 22, 2003 and the Variance will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 132 – V-0032-00(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Variance (V-0032-00).
3. A Multi-use Transportation Trail shall be provided through the site, to connect the trail on the south side of Sunrise Avenue to the school grounds trail system to the north of the site, and shall be included on the Tentative Map submitted for this development.
4. Satisfaction of City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments.

Public Works

5. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Variance (V-0032-00) and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - VARIANCE - V-0034-00(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS HOUSING AUTHORITY - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Variance (V-0034-00) which allowed a reduction in required setbacks on 8.88 acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of 28th Street and Sunrise Avenue (APN: 139-36-303-003), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 132 [V-0032-00(1)] and Item 133 [V-0034-00(1)] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

(1:51 – 1:52)

4-291

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time will expire on August 22, 2003 and the Variance will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Variance (V-0032-00).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 133 – V-0034-00(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. A Multi-use Transportation Trail shall be provided through the site, to connect the trail on the south side of Sunrise Avenue to the school grounds trail system to the north of the site, and shall be included on the Tentative Map submitted for this development.
4. Development shall be allowed to occur as zero lot line development, with a zero side yard setback permitted.
5. Satisfaction of City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments.

Public Works

6. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Variance (V-0034-00) and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - SD-0046-02 - REVIEW REQUESTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE APPLICATION OF MARY BARTSAS ON BEHALF OF SUBWAY OF NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the On-Site Landscape Requirements FOR A PROPOSED FAST FOOD RESTAURANT WITH DRIVE THROUGH on 0.55 acres located on property at 3201 North Rancho Road (APN: 138-12-801-011), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommended APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. E-mail request from Councilman Mack for Council Review

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE TO 11/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK’S previous motion for Approval subject to amended conditions, which carried unanimously, was rescinded by Unanimous action upon a motion by MAYOR GOODMAN, which carried unanimously with M. McDONALD excused.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK requested this item be trailed because he had concerns regarding this application.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that two conditions, previously deleted at the Planning Commission, would be added back to the list of conditions as Conditions 16 and 17. BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, confirmed the referenced conditions by reading them into the record.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – SD-0046-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN inquired whether inclusion of those conditions was relevant to FEMA funding. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, and COUNCILMAN MACK both replied affirmatively.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the applicant needs to agree to the added conditions or be placed back onto the City Council agenda for review. MR. GENZER replied that staff has been unsuccessful in contacting the applicant but will continue their attempts. COUNCILMAN MACK alluded to a time-sensitive issue involving roadway construction being undertaken by the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) and explained that the City would like to work with the applicant in regards to the landscaping that may be affected by the construction.

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that both the traffic and the drainage studies will prove very costly for the applicant. TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, concurred with MR. GALLEGO'S remarks and felt that the City should find the means to eliminate such financial strains on small businesses. COUNCILMAN MACK stated that the cost would approximate to several thousand dollars for both studies, but he felt it is vitally important to protect the integrity of the neighborhood. MR. ANDERSON commented that an alternative solution, defraying the entire cost of those studies, is available.

Upon COUNCILMAN MACK'S motion for approval, MARGO WHEELER, Department of Planning and Development, read the modifications to Condition 4, which stated that the landscape plan shall reflect property configuration after any required dedications.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, acknowledged that a phone call was received by the City Clerk's office from the representative of the applicant, who indicated that they were not properly informed that this item would be presented to the City Council. MR. GENZER stated that he believes that claim to be true. MR. GENZER requested the previous action be rescinded in order to abey the item for two weeks to allow the applicants an opportunity to present their case.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:52 – 1:53/2:04 – 2:11/5:32 – 5:33)

4-302/4-755/6-1420

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

WAIVER OF THE SUBDIVISION STANDARDS (TITLE 18) - PUBLIC HEARING - **WVR-0005-02 - PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA** - Request for a Waiver of the Subdivision Standards (Title 18) TO ALLOW FOR EIGHT MODEL HOMES WHERE SIX ARE THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED AND TO ALLOW A TEMPORARY TRELLIS STRUCTURE TO OCCUPY TWO ADJOINING PARCELS on property located adjacent to the northeast corner of Tee Pee Lane and Severance Lane (APNs: 125-18-701-012 and 014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [(TC (Town Center) General Plan Designation)] under Resolution of Intent to T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DIANA BOSSARD appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – WVR-0005-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:52 – 1:53)

4-333

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments design standards shall be met.
2. Approval of the Waiver shall be for no more than two (2) years.
3. Consultation with and approval of the Building Official regarding relevant provisions of the Uniform Building Code.

Public Works

4. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0034-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0137-94(5) - RONALD AND JUDITH VITTO, ET AL ON BEHALF OF JAMES E. STROH, ARCHITECTS, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements FOR A MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT CONSISTING OF 72,171 SQUARE FEET OF OFFICE SPACE AND 29,440 SQUARE FEET OF RETAIL SPACE on 7.86 acres adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive approximately 600 feet south of Craig Road (APN: 138-02-701-009), R-E (Residence Estates) and C-2 (General Commercial) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother, Steven Mack, owns a Super Pawn located in the area, he has not discussed this application with him and will be voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES STROH, 6126 South Sandhill, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0137-94(5)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK commended the applicant for developing the site consistent with what the adjacent residents prefer. He noted a previous Emerald Suites application a year ago that met with much opposition from the neighborhood.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, clarified that the conditions contained in the backup documentation include those referred to by MR. STROH.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:53 – 1:56)

4-360

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24 inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on center and a minimum of four five gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters along the east, south and west property lines; and 24 inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on center and a minimum of four five gallon shrubs for each tree within the provided planter along the north property line.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0137-94(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. The side and rear elevations shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department staff; prior to the time application is made for a building permit, with additional architectural features to enhance façade articulation.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties. In addition, Wallpack lighting be prohibited along the rear elevations of Office 4 and Office 5 as indicated on the submitted site plan.
10. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0137-94(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

14. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Rancho Drive and Torrey Pines Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
15. Provide a 20 foot wide public sewer easement across this parcel to service the adjacent parcel to the north (APN: 138-02-701-008) along an alignment acceptable to the City Engineer. This condition shall not be enforced if an acceptable alternative public sewer access plan for the referenced parcel is submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0137-94(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

18. An Encroachment Permit from the Nevada Department of Transportation must be obtained for all improvements in the Rancho Drive public right-of-way.
19. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0072-00(2) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS ON BEHALF OF CHARTER SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION
 - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 57,838 SQUARE FOOT ADDITION TO THE ANDRE AGASSI COLLEGE PREPARATORY ACADEMY CONSISTING OF A 4,406 SQUARE FOOT ADDITION TO AN EXISTING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, A 26,203 SQUARE FOOT MIDDLE SCHOOL, AND A 27,229 SQUARE FOOT MULTI-PURPOSE BUILDING on 7.96 acres at 1201 Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 139-21-702-001, 002, 003, 004, 005, and 139-21-701-003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that Item 137 [Z-0072-00 (2)] be pulled forward to allow the Principal of the Andre Agassi College Preparatory Academy to return to school to dismiss his students for the day.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MICHAEL DELGATTO of the Carpenters Sellers Association, 1919 South Jones, representing the applicant, concurred with staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0072-00 (2)

MINUTES – Continued:

PRINCIPAL WAYNE TANAKA requested approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN commended MR. TANAKA on doing such a great job.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:41/1:47 – 1:49)

4-1/4-124

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0072-00 (2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. Coordinate with the Traffic Engineering Division to determine appropriate alignments, easements, and construction necessary to extend the pedestrian access walkway from the south edge of this site to a location acceptable to the Traffic Engineer unless a viable alternative is accepted by the City of Las Vegas Traffic Engineer

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0072-00 (2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

15. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis (or other related traffic information acceptable to the Traffic Engineer) must be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works.
16. An addendum to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
17. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-72-00 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0044-01(1)** - **BUFFALO WASHINGTON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE LONGFORD GROUP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of On-site Landscape Requirements FOR A 110,282 SQUARE FOOT MEDICAL OFFICE COMPLEX on 5.06 acres adjacent to the east side of the Buffalo Drainage Channel, approximately 1,500 feet south of Washington Avenue (APN: 138-27-301-012 and a portion of 138-27-301-013), U (Undeveloped) Zone [O (Office) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Revised site plan

MOTION:

L. B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and asked for approval.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked about the final determination for the ingress and egress. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO stated that the primary access would be over the drainage channel to alleviate any conflict with the existing K-Mart Center.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0044-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:56 – 1:58)

4-459

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect compliance with the Uniform Building Code (UBC) handicap parking space requirement for medical use. Add wheel stops to all parking spaces along the landscape areas as required by the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to provide landscape planter finger islands for every six parking spaces along the entire southern property line with minimum 24-inch box trees spaced thirty feet on center in the landscape planter located. Provide minimum 24-inch box trees spaced twenty-feet on center along the north and east property lines. All shrubs and groundcover shall meet the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
5. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0044-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of the Planning Commission or City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. Any proposed property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Parcel Map PM-24-01 must record prior to the issuance of any permits for this site to establish the appropriate legal boundaries as shown on this site plan.
15. A copy of a recorded joint access and parking agreement between all parcels created by PM-24-01 shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
16. Obtain appropriate ingress/egress easements and public utility easements, including public sewer easements, overlying the existing drainage right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
17. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, on Washington Avenue adjacent to the entrance to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0044-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

18. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall specifically address the proposed driveway over the existing channel and the related offset issues with the existing driveway to the north. If relocation or reconfiguration of the proposed driveway is required, appropriate joint access easements may be required from the abutting property to the west prior to the issuance of permits for this site.
19. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall specifically address the proposed driveway over the existing channel and the related offset issues with the existing driveway to the north. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0044-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

20. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a map further subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a map further subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
21. Prior to this item being heard by the City Council, the applicant shall provide a Traffic Impact Analysis, for review and approval by the Traffic Engineering Division, indicating how the proposed driveway access points, traffic signalization, median islands, and adjacent public street intersections may be modified to mitigate potential adverse impacts related to this development, and shall provide a plan indicating how such modifications could be implemented to preserve the existing traffic handling capability of the area. Additionally the Traffic Impact Analysis shall specifically address the Buffalo Drainage Channel corridor as the primary access point. Site development shall comply with the recommendations of the Traffic Engineering Division. If the site significantly changes as a result of the recommendations by the Traffic Engineering Division this action shall return to the Planning Commission for review prior to being forwarded to City of Las Vegas City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-0008-02 - MONEYTREE, INC. ON BEHALF OF ROBERT BALLEW** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN APPROVED FINANCIAL INSTITUTION at 2950 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-05-816-006), R-1 (Single Family Residential) under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that as a consultant for an advertising firm, one of his clients, DENNIS BEDFORD, has offices located in the adjacent area; however, no discussion regarding this item has transpired and he would vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT BALLEW, 2800 West Sahara Avenue, concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:58 – 1:59)

4-523

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – MSP-0008-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The proposed pylon sign shall be redesigned to meet the freestanding sign design standards of [19.14.020(N)].
2. Address numbers shall be provided as required by the Planning and Development Department.
3. No temporary signage shall be allowed on the entire site without the approval of a Temporary Sign Permit from the Planning and Development Department.
4. The final color palate for the building and signage shall be reviewed by the Planning and Development Department staff prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

Public Works

5. All signage shall be situated as to not interfere with Sight Visibility Restriction Zones; final sign locations shall be approved by the Traffic Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0064-02 - U.S. HOME CORPORATION - Petition to vacate a portion of Maverick Street between Elkhorn Road and Eisner Drive and Severance Lane from Jones Boulevard to Maverick Street, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS WERTZLER, Carter Burgess, 6665 South Bermuda, explained that the applicant is requesting approval of the vacation request.

ATTORNEY JOSH REID, Lionel, Sawyer and Collins, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the King Family who owns an adjacent parcel. He explained that his clients are opposed to the vacation of any portion of the road that affronts their property. Subsequently, they were able to speak with representatives of U.S. Home and COUNCILMAN MACK.

COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed having met with the King Family, but believed that this vacation would have no adverse affect on their property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 140 – VAC-0064-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:59 – 2:01)

4-558

CONDITIONS:

1. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required by rezoning action Z-55-02 may be used to satisfy this condition.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0065-02 - EL CAPITAN ASSOCIATES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Petition to vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations generally located west of El Capitan Way, approximately 660 feet north of Deer Springs Way, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEPHANIE NELSON, 2270 Corporate Circle Drive, representing the applicant, concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:01 – 2:02)

4-641

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 141 – VAC-0065-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest.
2. The Relinquishment of Interest along the Dorrell Lane alignment shall be excluded from this request. All interest along the Dorrell Lane alignment shall be retained by the City of Las Vegas.
3. The Relinquishment of Interest along the Jeanette Street alignment shall be modified to exclude that portion necessary to provide access to parcel numbers 125-20-201-015 & 016 as required by zoning action Z-0099-01.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0066-02 - McNAMEE FAMILY PARTNERSHIP - Petition to vacate a portion of Al Carrison Street (Silk Purse Road) and an unnamed right-of-way generally located south of Racel Street, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TOM URIBE, 6280 South Valley View, appeared on behalf of the applicants and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:02 – 2:04)

4-670

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 142 – VAC-0066-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submit a Petition of Vacation for the west half of Al Carrison Street to the Clark County Planning Department. Such Petition of Vacation shall record concurrently with this Petition of Vacation.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0067-02 - LOG CABIN WAY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP - Petition to vacate a portion of Leon Avenue between Iron Mountain Road and Gilbert Lane, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PALISBO ROMERO, Carter Burgess, represented the property owner and concurred with the recommendations of staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:04)

4-716

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 143 – VAC-0067-02

CONDITIONS:

1. A plan indicating how legal access to APN: 125-01-402-006 will be maintained shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation. This Vacation Application shall be modified, and additional public street dedication or private access easements shall be provided as necessary to conform to the approved plan.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0068-02 - M.B. HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. - Petition to vacate U.S Government Patent Reservations generally located adjacent to the north side of Alexander Road, approximately 700 feet west of Vegas Vista Trail, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:11 – 2:12

4-979

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 144 – VAC-0068-02

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of a an Order of Relinquishment of Interest.
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
3. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-9-02, the Lone Mountain Ridges subdivision, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0069-02 - P N II, INC. - Petition to vacate public utility easements generally located north of Grand Teton Drive, west of Rainbow Boulevard, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

VALERIE POWERS, Vantek Consulting, 7251 West Charleston, representing the applicant, concurred with all of staff's conditions and requested Council approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:12 – 2:14)

4-1014

CONDITIONS:

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – VAC-0069-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Provide a plan for approval by the City Engineer indicating how existing active public sewer lines shall be relocated to provide for continuous public sewer service. All existing active public sewer lines shall be relocated, and new public sewer easements provided for such relocation prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0070-02 - CORNERSTONE COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHETAK DEVELOPMENT - Petition of Vacation to vacate a public alley generally located north of Sahara Avenue, west of Paradise Road, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE TO 11/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the principals of Cornerstone Company, Rick Truesdell, is a personal friend.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

WILL KEMP, appearing on behalf of the applicant, agreed with all of staff conditions.

YVONNE DUPLANE, representing the Holiday House Motel, opposed the closing of the public alleyway. At the Planning Commission meeting, they were advised that the closing of the alley was due to illegal drug activity. MS. DUPLANE stated that over the past seventeen years, any problems dealing with drug activity have been referred to the police department. She commented that the applicant never approached the owners of the Holiday House Motel to inform them of their plans to close off the alleyway with cyclone fencing. She was quite concerned about accessibility to the dumpsters located in the alleyway as well as situations requiring emergency vehicle access to enter and exit the alley.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 146 – VAC-0070-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. KEMP clarified that the applicant's request is to vacate only a portion of the alley and that the portion behind the motel will not be vacated. He also emphasized that Fire Services has no problem with the vacation, and the applicant is abiding by the conditions stipulated by staff that require a turnaround for emergency vehicles.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he does not recall seeing very much pedestrian or vehicular traffic in that alleyway. He did comment that the alleyway is far too narrow for large vehicles to turnaround.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated that partial vacation of the alleyway would present a hardship to a number of businesses that utilize the alley. He asked MR. KEMP to work out details with adjacent property owners and requested that the applicant contact his office.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, requested the applicant provide staff with a copy of the proposed plan as illustrated in his presentation today. Staff prefers to review the plan to make a determination whether this will be a feasible project, then make a recommendation to Council. MR. KEMP agreed to provide a copy as requested.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE expressed his concern regarding accessibility of large vehicles into the alley. MR. KEMP clarified that large vehicles will have to utilize an existing parking lot in order to accomplish the turnaround and then exit the alley.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, suggested the applicant meet with Fire Services, since a major concern relates to fire vehicles gaining access.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:14 – 2:26)

4-1042

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0071-02 - SALVATION ARMY - Petition of Vacation to vacate a portion of Public Right-Of-Way located on the south side of Owens Avenue, east of the Union Pacific Railroad, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and Amending Condition 2 as follows:

2. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation, the applicant shall reimburse the City of Las Vegas \$1.00 for the subject right-of-way.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MAJOR WILLIAM RAIL, 2900 Palomino Lane, representing the Salvation Army, agreed with all of the conditions with the exception of Condition 2 relating to the payment of \$58,700.00 and requested reconsideration in the amount of \$1.00.

TOM McGOWAN, citizen of Las Vegas, inquired as to what the vacation is intended to accomplish. MAJOR RAIL replied that a new entry way into the proposed dining hall is planned and this would provide access control into that dining area. The Salvation Army also intends to eliminate any makeshift residences from materializing on their property. Having received this response, MR. McGOWAN recommended Council approve this application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 147 – VAC-0071-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN commended the Salvation Army for their endeavors and announced his support of COUNCILMAN WEEKLY’S motion to reduce the amount of reimbursement.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:12 – 3:19)

4-2370

CONDITIONS:

1. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation, provide a plan for approval by the Department of Public Works showing how the integrity of the abutting roadway and railroad overpass will be preserved throughout and after the reclamation process for this site. Approved construction drawings and appropriate security for the performance of any infrastructure construction necessary to support such reclamation may be required, and appropriate easements retained, prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
2. Prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation, the applicant shall reimburse the City of Las Vegas for the \$58,700.00 the City paid to obtain this right-of-way in 1972.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 147 – VAC-0071-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0086-95(2)** - **RANDY BLACK, SR. ON BEHALF OF SUSA PARTNERSHIP** - Required Two Year Review of an approved Variance WHICH ALLOWED AN OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN TO BE RAISED TO A HEIGHT OF 55 FEET, AND ALLOWED THE SIGN TO BE 150 FEET FROM RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICT WHERE 300 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SEPARATION ALLOWED at 1399 North Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-27-502-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

L. B. McDONALD– APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. She illustrated on the overhead the position of the subject billboard and maintained that its location benefits north and southbound travelers. Summarizing that no significant changes have occurred in this area, that the billboard has been well maintained and no graffiti exists, she asked Council to consider the Planning Commission's recommendation for approval.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 148 – V-0086-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK, concerned about a recent request for a senior apartment complex off of Cheyenne, expressed that with the installation of sound walls, approval of this application might set a precedent.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:30 – 2:32)

4-1667

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Variance shall be reviewed in five years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Variance shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0066-97(1) - REESE FAMILY TRUST - Required Five Year Review of an approved Variance WHICH ALLOWED AN EXISTING 55 FOOT HIGH NON-CONFORMING OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN TO BE RAISED TO A HEIGHT OF 85 FEET WHICH IS 60 FEET ABOVE THE ELEVATED FREEWAY GRADE WHERE 30 FEET ABOVE THE ELEVATED GRADE IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT ALLOWED; AND TO ALLOW THE BILLBOARD 520 FEET FROM AN EXISTING OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN AND 30 FEET FROM AN "R" DESIGNATED DISTRICT WHERE 750 FEET AND 300 FEET ARE THE MINIMUM DISTANCE SEPARATIONS REQUIRED at 616 "H" Street (APN: 139-27-310-069), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a business relationship with an executive of another billboard company.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that he has no relationship to the applicant.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 149 – V-0066-97(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN asked what the initial purpose was for requesting the variance in view of the fact that the reductions are so drastic. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY surmised that he too would like to have that question answered.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, commented that the billboard advertisement on one side illustrates an X-rated ad, while the reverse side is blank. He stated that there is no practical reason for the extreme height of that billboard.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if staff was aware of the reasons for requesting the variance. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, stated that the request before the Council is for a required Five Year Review; however, there would be no problem reviewing the files to determine what the explanation was for the variance request.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:32 – 2:37)

4-1767

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0037-02 - I AND K HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Variance to allow three parking spaces where the proposed uses require 14 parking spaces on property located at 2111 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-410-072), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from the applicant requesting Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02] be tabled.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

The applicant for Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02] and Item 152 [U-0073-02] submitted a letter to table these items. A copy of the letter is filed under Item 150 [V-0037-02].

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE RELATED TO V-0037-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0038-02 - I AND K HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Variance to allow an existing building zero feet from the side property line, where five feet is the minimum setback required on property located at 1205 Exley Avenue (APN: 162-02-410-071), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from the applicant requesting Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02] be tabled.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

The applicant for Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02] and Item 152 [U-0073-02] submitted a letter to table these items. A copy of the letter is filed under Item 150 [V-0037-02].

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0037-02 AND V-0038-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0073-02 - I AND K HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit TO ALLOW A PSYCHIC ARTS BUSINESS on property located at 2111 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-410-072), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from the applicant requesting Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02] be tabled.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

The applicant for Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02] and Item 152 [U-0073-02] submitted a letter to table these items. A copy of the letter is filed under Item 150 [V-0037-02].

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0057-02 - ROBERT AND VIRGINIA GOOD - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 82 PARKING SPACES WHERE 138 PARKING SPACES ARE REQUIRED FOR A MIX OF EXISTING AND PROPOSED USES on property located at 901 South Rancho Drive (APN: 139-32-804-001), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

6

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of opposition from Jim Gregory

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and Amending Conditions 1 and 2 as follows:

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Rezoning Z-0020-97 and Z-0020-97(33) as it applies to additional site development only.
2. Provide a minimum of four parking spaces with one van accessible parking space, meeting the requirements of Section 19.10.010.G.3.

And adding the following condition:

- One-year review by the City Council.

– UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – V-0057-02

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, represented the applicant. She explained that the existing center, when built in 1985, had no requirements for any parking variances. Subsequently, new requirements by way of a revision to the parking code were instituted and the applicant was informed that a parking variance was necessary. ATTORNEY LAZOVICH summarized the various types of businesses located within that center and noted that some of those businesses support the shared-use concept, whereby patrons use the parking just briefly to conduct their transactions and leave expeditiously. ATTORNEY LAZOVICH clarified that there are actually 86 spaces, with four of those being handicap accessible.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, clarified that the confusion that transpired following the Planning Commission meeting has been resolved and the conditions will be read into the record for clarification.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, confirmed that he frequents this area and has never seen a shortage of parking stalls.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked ATTORNEY LAZOVICH for her presentation and stated that in addition to approving this item, he is recommending a one-year review. ATTORNEY LAZOVICH concurred.

MS. WHEELER, read for the record the following amendment to Condition 1, relative to the conformance to the Conditions of Rezoning Z-0020-97 and Z-0020-97(33) as it applies to additional site development only. Condition 2, provide a minimum of four parking spaces with one van accessible parking space, meeting the requirements of Section 19.10.010.G.3. The added condition will be for a one-year review by the City Council. ATTORNEY LAZOVICH thanked staff for the clarification and concurred with the amendments as read.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:37 – 2:42)

4-1964

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – V-0057-02

*

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0020-97) and [Z-0020-97(1)].
2. Provide one additional handicap parking space for a total of five on-site handicap parking spaces.
3. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0063-02 - RAFAEL RUIZ - Appeal filed by Rafael Ruiz from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a Request for a Variance TO ALLOW CONSTRUCTION OF AN ADDITION EIGHT FEET FROM THE REAR PROPERTY LINE, WHERE 15 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED on property located at 1230 South Seventh Street (APN: 162-03-515-007), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

4

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter of appeal filed by Rafael Ruiz
5. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Rafael Ruiz requesting withdrawal
6. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of protest from Barbara Ganson

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0067-02 - VALERIE L JUICK** - Appeal filed by Valerie Juick from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 28-FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACK, WHERE 50 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED for a proposed attached garage addition on property located at 5112 Royer Ranch Road (APN: 125-33-302-005), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
21

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter of appeal filed by Valerie Juick
5. Submitted at meeting: Color-coded site plan indicating subject property and adjacent lots
6. Submitted at meeting: List of 21 approvals with attached signed documents, 2 oppositions and one, no response.
7. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of protest from Harry A. Stivers

MOTION:

MACK – Granting the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Variance subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he and MS. JUICK once worked together; however, because they have never discussed this matter, he would be voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

VALERIE JUICK, owner of the property, submitted documents for the City Council to review and on the overhead illustrated her home, which was built in 1978 and purchased by her in 1999. MS. JUICK proposes to construct a garage with the necessary required permits. She also showed pictures of the adjoining residences and noted that her residence does not blend in with those residences; neither in the price range nor the structural appearance.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – V-0067-02

MINUTES – Continued:

VIRGINIA FRANER, 5025 Tomsik, asked why the applicant could not accommodate the proposed garage on her one-acre lot in the rear of her residence. MS. JUICK, using the overhead, illustrated the rectangular-shaped residence with the driveway aligned to the side. At the rear of the residence is another rectangular structure used as a shop. Across from that building is a large barn, and still beyond are paddocks and exercise areas. MS. FRANER acknowledged the explanation was adequate.

COUNCILMAN MACK applauded MS. JUICK for her determination and commended her for her perseverance, as she polled all of her adjoining neighbors. Despite the opposition of two residents and the uncertainty of one neighbor, COUNCILMAN MACK stated he would grant the appeal, thereby approving the variance.

MAYOR GOODMAN also complimented MS. JUICK and was pleased with the forum she presented, which was very concise and well-substantiated.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:42 – 2:53)

4-2172

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The front setback shall be no less than twenty-eight (28) feet from the front property line for the building footprint, and the projection of architectural features, including roof eaves, shall not project more than two (2) feet.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – V-0067-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Submit complete plans to the Department of Building and Safety for review and permits for the previous (non-permitted) garage conversion.
5. Submit complete plans to the Department of Building and Safety for review and permits for the proposed garage addition.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0068-02 - SCHNIPPEL FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HAND - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 80 PARKING SPACES, WHERE 116 SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED in conjunction with a proposed high density residential senior housing development (Bonanza Pines) on 3.14 acres, located adjacent to the north side of Bonanza Road, approximately 1,000 feet east of Sandhill Road (APN: 140-30-802-006), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD25 (Residential Planned Development - 25 Units per Acre), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Discussed but not submitted: Survey from Nevada Hand

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD TURNER, L. R. Nelson Consulting Engineers, appeared on behalf of the applicants. He asked for approval of the variance to allow 80 parking stalls. MR. TURNER introduced BOB FIBALMAN, who represents Nevada Hand. MR. TURNER related that the reduction allows for 75% of the senior residents to have vehicles and still meets the requirements for handicap and visitor parking. MR. FIBALMAN, in replying to MAYOR GOODMAN'S inquiry, stated that this configuration is typical of other Nevada Hand senior housing developments.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 156 – V-0068-02

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, resident of Las Vegas, commented favorably on the landscaping aspects of the various Nevada Hand senior-housing complexes as it contributes to the enhancement of the quality of life for seniors.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired whether employee parking has been included in the overall parking scheme. MR. TURNER replied that fourteen stalls are available for employee parking.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:53 – 2:58)

4-2680

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0089-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0089-01(1)].
2. The Variance shall be limited to a minimum of 96 parking spaces provided.
3. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0070-02 - JOHN AMORE - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW FOR A FOUR-FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACK WHERE TWENTY FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AND A 4.5-FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE FIVE FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED for an existing carport on 0.11 acres located at 620 Princeton Street (APN: 138-25-713-135), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that he and the applicant have sons who have played baseball for a long time and that he would be voting as they have never had any discussion relative to this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN AMORE, 620 Princeton Street, explained that when he purchased his residence eight years ago and constructed the attached garage, he was unaware that a permit was required. COUNCILMAN McDONALD affirmed that he has seen the structure and the applicant did a fine job. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he realizes that many of the regulations have changed since 1994.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 157 – V-0070-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that there should be a halt to any types of construction that are done without permits. MAYOR GOODMAN added that henceforth, these types of construction would be subject to serious consequences. COUNCILMAN McDONALD responded that sometimes these situations are looked at on a case-by-case basis.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY to look into a situation on Washington, east of Michael Way, where a brick wall was torn down a while ago and continues to create a hazard. CITY MANAGER SELBY acknowledged that the matter would be looked into.

(2:58 – 3:01)

4-2917

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The side setback shall be no less than four and one-half (4.5) feet from the side property line and no less than eight (8) feet from the front property line for the building footprint, and the projection of architectural features, including roof overhangs, shall not project more than two (2) feet.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
4. Obtain approval from the Department of Building and Safety for the structure.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0027-95(2) - STEVEN AND RAYNELL PHILLIPS ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN adjacent to the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Redwood Street (APN: 163-02-104-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Steve Phillips dated 11/4/02

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a possible business relationship with an Executive of another company and also that he and MR. NATZGER went to the same high school.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NATZGER, Lamar Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, represented this application and concurred with all conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 158 – U-0027-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:12 – 3:19)

4-3102

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0041-95(2) - BOYS CLUB OF CLARK COUNTY, INC. ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2800 Marlin Avenue (APN: 139-36-213-001), R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from the Boys & Girls Clubs of Las Vegas dated 10/28/02

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a possible business relationship with an Executive of another company and also that he and MR. NATZGER went to the same high school.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NATZGER, Lamar Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, represented this application and concurred with all conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, alluded to a billboard display that features a young lady and a young man with the caption Ugly Kids and expressed that he felt slighted by the caption, not really knowing what it was saying. COUNCILMAN REESE commented that his office has received numerous phone calls relating to that billboard. MR. NATZGER affirmed that the referenced billboard is not owned by his firm.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 159 – U-0041-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:03 – 3:05)

4-3102

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0115-02 - DANA KANNE, ET AL ON BEHALF OF PMD ASSOCIATES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT adjacent to the west side of Torrey Pines Drive, approximately 600 feet north of Ann Road (APN: 125-26-403-013), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****5****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MICHAEL PAIGE, Wright Engineers, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite H, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked for confirmation regarding private streets within subdivisions as to whether any type of disclosure is made to the potential property owners that distinguishes the difference between a public street and a private street. His question related to the maintenance responsibilities. MARGO WHEELER, Department of Planning and Development, clarified that it is a requirement contained in the deed, as well as any CC&R'S that a homeowner's association might have. She noted that a disclosure is made at the time of purchase to the initial property owner; however, sometimes it is with the subsequent property owners that problems arise.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 160 – U-0115-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:05 – 3:08)

4-3320

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The private street shall be subject to all of the conditions specified in Section 19A.04.050(B) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The private street shall be subject to the design standards specified in Title 18 (Las Vegas Subdivision Ordinance).
4. The City is permitted to examine the street to determine its compliance with approved standards.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
6. The design and construction of Torrey Pines Drive shall incorporate a bike route.

Public Works

7. Private streets shall be identified as “Public Drainage Easements to be privately maintained” and shall also provide public sewer easements.
8. The proposed 40 foot wide “special design” for the private streets is acceptable providing no sidewalks are proposed within the 40 foot width.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0117-02 - LODGE LAS VEGAS SHRINE ON BEHALF OF LUIS PEDEMONTE - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A WAIVER OF THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION REQUIREMENT FROM A CITY PARK FOR A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING RESTAURANT at 2319 South Eastern Avenue (APN: 162-01-401-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after agenda: Letter of approval from M. Robinson

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LUIS PEDEMONTE, 2319 South Eastern Avenue, asked for approval of a special use permit to serve beer and wine at his family-owned restaurant.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, criticized the landscaping and the deteriorated look of the building.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 161 – U-0017-92

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(3:08 – 3:10)
4-3607

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Section 19.04.050 for the Restaurant Service Bar use.
3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
6. This use shall conform to the Restaurant Service Bar use as defined in Section 19.20.020 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0119-02 - I RENT B & E, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PAWN SHOP on property located at 520 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-36-110-004), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of protest from Joe M. Lemos, Sr.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because he has a pawnbroker license and his brother, Steven Mack, owns Super Pawn, which has a location near this application.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

WINSTON HENDERSON, Architect, 1555 East Flamingo Road, and ERMINIA DROPKIN, the applicant, concurred with staff recommendations and requested Council approval.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired whether the conditions include no sale of guns. COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed that there would be no sale of guns or knives.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked if there is any existing business in the relative vicinity that would be in direct conflict with the subject usage. COUNCILMAN REESE replied that there are none.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 162 – U-0119-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE complimented the applicants and thanked them for meeting with him to clear up all of the concerns he had. He further acknowledged that the applicants run a reputable business and are taking it upon themselves to expend additional money to extend the existing building that they own. COUNCILMAN REESE welcomed the applicants and shared that all reports received have been nothing but positive indicating the professionalism with which they run their operation.

Making a reference to Item 163 [SD-0041-02], MARGO WHEELER, Department of Planning and Development, clarified the language relative to the landscaping of the property. She stated that any existing trees shown on the plan must be replaced with 24-inch box trees, if they are not in place. COUNCILMAN REESE asked the applicant to try to conform to the surrounding properties landscaping theme.

COUNCILMAN REESE offered assistance, since the area is within the Redevelopment Sector, should the applicants require any type of support to protect their investment.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 162 [U-0019-02] and Item 163 [SD-0041-02] was held under Item 162 [U-0019-02].

(3:10 – 3:17)

4-3607/5-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for the Pawn Shop use.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for the Site Development Plan Review (SD-0041-02).
3. Weapons, including but not limited to firearms and knives, shall not be sold at this location.
4. The hours of operation for this business shall be limited to 9 A. M. through 9 P. M.
5. No outdoor display, sales or storage of any merchandise is permitted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 162 – U-0119-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
7. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0119-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0041-02 - I RENT B & E, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the On-Site Landscape Requirements FOR A PROPOSED RETAIL BUILDING ADDITION on 0.55 acres, located at 520 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-36-110-004), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and Amending Condition 4 as follows:

4. *Any existing trees shown on the landscaping plan must be replaced with 24-inch box trees if they are not in place. This condition applies to the perimeter trees as seen on the landscape plan and will ensure that both the proposed and the existing are the ones that are actually planted.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining because he has a pawnbroker license and his brother, Steven Mack, owns SuperPawn, which has a location near this application.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

WINSTON HENDERSON, Architect, 1555 East Flamingo Road, and ERMINIA DROPKIN, the applicant, were present.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – SD-0041-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 162 [U-0019-02] and Item 163[SD-0041-02] was held under Item 162 [U-0019-02].

(3:10 – 3:17)
4-3607/5-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the elimination of access to Mesquite Avenue and to provide three additional parking spaces along the Mesquite Avenue frontage for a total of 39 required parking spaces. If the three additional parking spaces are not provided, the applicant shall submit a Variance application for parking, to be approved by City Council.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect three additional twenty-four inch box trees along Mesquite Avenue in addition to the required minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center. All shrubs and groundcover shall meet the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Guidelines and Standards.
5. The elevations of the existing building shall be refinished to compliment the elevations of the building addition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – SD-0041-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
11. Any new property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Dedicate those portions of Mesquite Avenue to ensure that the entire width of the required 5-foot sidewalk will be contained within the public right-of-way. Alternatively, grant a Pedestrian Access Easement from the back of the existing right-of-way to the back of the required 5-foot sidewalk.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – SD-0041-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

15. Remove all substandard public street and alley improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A. Parking spaces located off the public alley shall be set back sufficiently to allow a minimum of 24 feet clearance for vehicle maneuvering.
17. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.
18. Obtain an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements (driveways) in the Eastern Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – SD-0041-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

19. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Eastern Avenue and Mesquite Avenue adjacent to this site.
20. Obtain an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements in the Mesquite Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0121-02 - MELVIN AND DARLA TURNER ON BEHALF OF TELOS ENTERPRISES INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR RECREATIONAL VEHICLE/BOAT STORAGE on property located at 1721 North Decatur Boulevard (APNs: 138-24-804-005, 006 and 017), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Telos Enterprizes, Inc. requesting abeyance to 12/4/02

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

STEVE HANSON, Telos Enterprizes Inc., submitted a letter requesting Item 164 [U-0121-02] be held in abeyance until 12/4/2002. A copy of the letter is filed under Item 164 [U-0121-02].

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0061-02 - KB HOME NEVADA, INC., ET AL** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [L (Low Density Residential) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designations] TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) on approximately 20.0 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tenaya Way and Craig Road (APN: 138-03-303-003), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, owns a Timbers Bar & Grill, which is in the vicinity of this application, but since they have never discussed these items and there would be no impact on his business, he would be voting on each item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, represented the applicant and concurred with all of staff's recommendations.

JACK GREEN, 4400 Dunlop Crossing Street, asked if there are any benefits to the community if the Council grants the numerous variances.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 165 – Z-0061-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN, specifically addressing the open-space requirement, explained the agreement between the City and KB Home Nevada to construct a dog park across the street at Bunker Park. Utilizing Bunker Park and the adjoining detention basin, KB Home Nevada in lieu of locating the open space within their planned subdivision, will be in compliance with the open-space requirement. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that this resolution not only complies with the neighborhood's demand for a dog park, but because of its location, will make the open space available to a larger number of individuals.

MR. SKUSE thanked COUNCILMAN BROWN and his staff, as well as MARGO WHEELER and DAVID CLAPSADDLE of the Planning Department for their continued assistance.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 165 [Z-0061-02], Item 166 [Z-0078-02], Item 167 [V-0051-02] and Item 168 [Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)] was held under Item 165 [Z-0061-02].

(3:17 – 3:24)

5-135

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements on Tenaya Way and Pioneer Way, including appropriate overpaving, adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 165 – Z-0061-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site, on Craig Road, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
5. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer service for this site prior to the submittal of sewer related construction drawings; due to capacity concerns, a maximum of 350 units may connect to the existing 8" sewer in Tenaya Way. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 165 – Z-0061-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0061-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0078-02 - KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Community – 7 Units Per Acre) TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Community – 8 Units Per Acre) of approximately 29 acres adjacent to the west side of Tenaya Way between Craig Road and Alexander Road (a portion of APN: 138-03-402-002), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, owns a Timbers Bar & Grill, which is in the vicinity of this application, but since they have never discussed these items and there would be no impact on his business, he would be voting on each item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 166 – Z-0061-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 165 [Z-0061-02], Item 166 [Z-0078-02], Item 167 [V-0051-02] and Item 168 [Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)] was held under Item 165 [Z-0061-02].

(3:17 – 3:24)

5-135

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Pioneer Way, 40 feet for Tenaya Way, 50 feet for Alexander Road, a 25 foot radius on the northeast corner of Pioneer Way and Alexander Road and a 54 foot radius on the northwest corner of Tenaya Way and Alexander Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements on Tenaya Way, Alexander Road and Pioneer Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site, on Craig Road, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 166 – Z-0061-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to provide public stubs to the western edge of this development along Pioneer Way to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 166 – Z-0061-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0061-02 AND Z-0078-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0051-02** - **KB HOME NEVADA, INC., ET AL** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 4.71 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 9.75 ACRES IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on approximately 80 acres at the southwest corner of Tenaya Way and Craig Road (APN: 138-03-303-003 and a portion of 138-03-402-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designations] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre) and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designations] [PENDING: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre)] Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, owns a Timbers Bar & Grill, which is in the vicinity of this application, but since they have never discussed these items and there would be no impact on his business, he would be voting on each item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 167 – V-0051-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 165 [Z-0061-02], Item 166 [Z-0078-02], Item 167 [V-0051-02] and Item 168 [Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)] was held under Item 165 [Z-0061-02].

(3:17 – 3:24)

5-135

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0076-01), Rezoning (Z-0061-02), Rezoning (Z-0078-02), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0076-01(1), and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2), & Z-0078-02(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0061-02, Z-0078-02 AND V-0051-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1) - KB HOME NEVADA, INC., ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on approximately 60 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tenaya Way and Craig Road (APN: 138-03-303-003 and a portion of 138-03-402-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designations] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre) and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designations] PENDING: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN– APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, owns a Timbers Bar & Grill, which is in the vicinity of this application, but since they have never discussed these items and there would be no impact on his business, he would be voting on each item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 168 – Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 165 [Z-0061-02], Item 166 [Z-0078-02], Item 167 [V-0051-02] and Item 168 [Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)] was held under Item 165 [Z-0061-02].

(3:17 – 3:24)

5-135

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0061-02) to a R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development – 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development – 8 Units Per Acre) zoning district approved by the City Council.
2. A Rezoning (Z-0078-02) to R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development – 8 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. Building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
6. The setbacks for this development shall be as follows: Cluster lots – 18 feet to the front of the garage, 10 feet to the front of the house, 5 feet on the side, 5 feet on the corner side, and 5 feet in the rear. Typical lots – minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage, as measured from the back of curb or sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 168 – Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect a minimum 7-foot wide landscape planter, measured from the back of the sidewalk, along the length of the corner side on all corner lots within the 'typical estate lot' subdivision; and a gated pedestrian access to/from the cluster lot portion at the drainage easement between Site Development Plan Review Lots 209 and 210.
8. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect compliance with the City of Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards (minimum 24 inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters).
9. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
10. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
11. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
13. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 168 – Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

16. One Hundred percent of open space/common space shall be installed at the time 75% of the houses are built.
17. Construct the multi-use trail located on the east side of Pioneer Way to City standards, which includes a ten foot wide PCC (Portland Cement Concrete) path with five foot wide landscape planters on each side of the trail. The trail shall be constructed concurrent with development of this site and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association or other maintenance organization acceptable to the City.
18. Provide handicap accessible parking in the cluster lot portion to meet the requirements of Section 19A.10.010.

Public Works

19. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout, including possible relocation or median modifications to address offset concerns for the northern most private street, prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
20. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
21. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
22. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
23. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map. Final right-of-way requirements will be determined at that time.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 168 – Z-0061-02(1), Z-0076-01(2) and Z-0078-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

24. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-61-02, Z-0076-01, and Z-0078-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
25. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Pioneer Way, 40 feet for Tenaya Way, 50 feet for Alexander Road, a 25 foot radius on the northeast corner of Pioneer Way and Alexander Road and a 54 foot radius on the northwest corner of Tenaya Way and Alexander Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
26. Construct half-street improvements on Tenaya Way, Alexander Road and Pioneer Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
27. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and on Craig Road and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-02 - NELLIS LAND COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development, 5 Units per Acre) of 7.65 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Bonanza Road and Marion Drive (APN:140-29-801-004), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Attorney Chris L. Kaempfer requesting abeyance.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0054-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-02(1) - NELLIS LAND COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 37-LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 7.65 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Bonanza Road and Marion Drive (APN:140-29-801-004), PROPOSED R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Attorney Chris L. Kaempfer requesting abeyance.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0065-02** - **SHIRON CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) on approximately 10 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Rome Boulevard and Tenaya Way (APN: 125-22-404-002), PROPOSED USE: 20-LOT SINGLE FAMILY SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KIMANH LE, 5900 Emerald Avenue, Suite A, appeared on behalf of the applicant and accepted all of staff's recommendations and asked for Council approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 171 – Z-0065-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 172 [Z-0065-02(1)] for related discussion.

(3:24 – 3:26)

5-396

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Tenaya Way, Rome Boulevard, and Monte Cristo Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Construction of Monte Cristo Way shall accommodate the transition from the proposed Town Center standards immediately south of this site to non Town Center standards adjacent to this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Tenaya Way to the south edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 171 – Z-0065-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0065-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0065-02(1) - SHIRON CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 20-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on approximately 10 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Rome Boulevard and Tenaya Way (APN: 125-22-404-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *The applicant shall work with staff to move the open space to a central location within the proposed development.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed concerns regarding young children playing at the entrance to the busy street, so he moved to add another condition for the applicant to work with staff to move the open space to a central location within the project. MS. LE agreed to the additional condition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 172 – Z-0065-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 171 [Z-0065-02] for related discussion.

(3:24 – 3:26)

5-396

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0065-02) to a R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: building heights shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 25 feet to the front of the house, 10 feet on the side, 15 feet on the corner side, and 20 feet in the rear.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within the required perimeter planters in accordance with the Urban Design Guidelines and Standards Manual.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 172 – Z-0065-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. Any proposed property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed entry road layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated drives shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. In addition, no portion of the proposed commercial driveway at the southern edge of this site may encroach into residentially zoned property.
15. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
16. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 172 – Z-0065-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
18. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0065-01, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0069-02 - CONCORDIA HOMES NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium Low Density Residential - Town Center) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) on approximately 20.27 acres located adjacent to the northwest and southeast corners of Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road (APNs: 125-20-301-006 and 007, 125-20-201-011 and 012), PROPOSED USE: 142-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
4. Staff Report
5. Submitted at meeting: Revised Site Plan

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 2 by adding the following sentence at the end of the paragraph:

2. ...and Deer Springs Way. *Dedication of Bath Street will not be required in the event that Concordia Homes purchases APN 125-20-301-015 and incorporates it as a continuation of this development.*

And amending Condition 3 by adding the following sentence at the end of the paragraph:

3. ...Tertiary Roadway Standards. *However, construction of Bath Street will not be required in the event that Concordia Homes purchases APN 125-20-301-015 and incorporates it as a continuation of this development.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 173 – Z-0069-02

MINUTES – Continued:

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. ARMSTRONG stated that Conditions 2 and 3 of the rezoning request, Item 173 [Z-0069-02], under the Public Works section, as presented today, each inadvertently omitted sentences pertaining to the dedication of Bath Street and the construction of Bath Street if the applicant purchases the adjoining property to the south. BART ANDERSON, Public Works concurred with the statements. MR. ARMSTRONG also referenced Item 174 [Z-0069-02(1)], Public Works Condition 12, that omitted a phrase pertaining to maintenance responsibility. MR. ANDERSON agreed and read into the record, modifications to each of the conditions referred to by MR. ARMSTRONG.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 173 [Z-0069-02] and Item 174 [Z-0069-02(1)] was held under Item 173 [Z-0069-02].

(3:26 – 3:28)

5-459

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

2. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Deer Springs Way, 40 feet or 80 feet as appropriate for Campbell Road, and 30 feet, including appropriate right of way for a circular cul-de-sac termination at the eastern boundary, adjacent to the south edge of this site for Bath Street. Coordinate with the adjacent developer to determine dedication requirements for Tropicaire Street; dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a total width of 36 feet from flow line to flow line of the street curbing along Tropicaire Street. Also, dedicate 20 foot radii on the northeast and northwest corners of Campbell Road and Deer Springs Way, a 20 foot radius on the southeast corner of Campbell Road and Deer Springs Way, a 15 foot radius on the northeast corner of Campbell Road and Bath Street, and a 20 foot radius on the northeast corner of Tropicaire Street and Deer Springs Way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 173 – Z-0069-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. Construct half-street improvements, including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Deer Springs Way, Tropicaire Street Campbell Road, and Bath Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for future traffic signal systems concurrent with development of this site. All required street improvements shall meet appropriate Town Center Roadway Standards; Bath Street shall be built to meet Town Center Tertiary Roadway Standards.
4. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
5. Extend public sanitary sewer to the west edge within Deer Springs Way along an alignment and to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer, and provide a public sewer stub to the southeast corner of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public right-of-way. All required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 173 – Z-0069-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
8. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0069-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0069-02(1) - CONCORDIA HOMES NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 142-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on approximately 20.27 acres located adjacent to the northwest and southeast corners of Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road (APNs: 125-20-301-006 and 007, 125-20-201-011 and 012), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium Low Density Residential - Town Center) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: T-C (Town Center)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Revised Site Plan

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 12 as follows:

12. A Homeowner's Association or other landscape maintenance association, shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as not to create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 174 – Z-0069-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 173 [Z-0069-02] and Item 174 [Z-0069-02(1)] was held under Item 173 [Z-0069-02].

(3:26 – 3:28)

5-459

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0069-02) to T-C (Town Center).
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The setbacks for this development shall be:

Front (to House)	5	Feet
Front (to Garage) Maximum	5	Feet
Side	5	Feet
Corner Side	10	Feet
Rear	14	Feet
4. Construct a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 174 – Z-0069-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
7. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
12. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
13. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way adjacent to this site.
14. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-69-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0070-02 - GREATER NEW JERUSALEM MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-4 (High Density Residential) TO: C-V (Civic) on 1.3 acres at 302, 306, 308, and 400 Jefferson Avenue and 1100 and 1122 "D" Street (APN: 139-27-211-024, 025, 027, 028, 029, 030 and 031), PROPOSED USE: FAMILY LIFE CENTER IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING CHURCH, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PASTOR JAMES ROGERS appeared on behalf of the Greater New Jerusalem Missionary Baptist Church. He stated that they accept all of staff's recommendations and asked for Council approval.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, stated that both of these items exemplify a positive benefit of spiritual, family and community values. He recommended approval. He praised PASTOR ROGERS' leadership and guidance.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 175 – Z-0070-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY congratulated PASTOR ROGERS on the celebration of the Church's 60th Anniversary on this location.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 176 [Z-0070-02(1)] for related discussion.

(3:28 – 3:33)

5-585

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, public alley improvements, and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
4. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Jefferson Avenue and on "D" Street adjacent to this site and submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Jefferson Avenue and "D" Street public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to issuance of permits or occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0070-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0070-02(1) - GREATER NEW JERUSALEM MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Perimeter Landscaping Requirements FOR A 1,300 SQUARE FOOT FAMILY LIFE CENTER WITHIN AN EXISTING BUILDING on 0.17 acres at 308 Jefferson Avenue (APN: 139-27-211-029), R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PASTOR JAMES ROGERS, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

Despite the request to reduce the perimeter landscaping, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for confirmation that there would be some type of landscaping provided. PASTOR ROGERS assured COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that landscaping would be provided on the 1300 square foot area.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 176 – Z-0070-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 175 [Z-0070-02(1)] for related discussion.
(3:28 – 3:33)

5-585

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval by the City Council of a Rezoning to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect at least four additional parking spaces adjacent to the alley abutting the northern property line and the replacement of the two parking spaces in front of the building with one “Van Accessible” handicap parking space.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to provide additional landscaping in the front of the building by making the recommended changes to the parking.
6. Any proposed parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 176 – Z-0070-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the approval of the Traffic Engineering Representative. If possible, parking spaces located off the public alley, if proposed or required, shall be set back sufficiently to allow a minimum of 24 feet clearance for vehicles to maneuver into and out of the parking spaces, and shall be accessed via a continuously paved surface from the nearest existing paved street. No portion of the public right-of-way may be used to fulfill on-site parking requirements; this may necessitate the redesign of the existing parking lot; alternatively, a Petition of Vacation application may be submitted to eliminate excess public right-of-way adjacent to this site and extending the full length of the block on D Street and Jefferson Avenue. If the Vacation option is chosen, the Petition of Vacation shall be approved by City Council prior to formal occupancy of this site.
11. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0070-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0076-02 - ROSE GRAVANTE ON BEHALF OF RL HOMES - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) on 5.0 acres located adjacent to the south side of Gilmore Avenue, approximately 950 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive (APN: 138-07-601-003), PROPOSED USE: 34-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed his concern regarding crowding seven homes to the acre and no allowance for open space.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 177 – Z-0076-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 178 [VAR-1006] for related discussion.
(3:33 – 3:35)

5-709

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Gilmore Avenue.
4. Construct half-street improvements on Gilmore Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed access drives and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 177 – Z-0076-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0076-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1006 - ROSE GRAVANTE ON BEHALF OF RL HOMES** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW NO OPEN SPACE WHERE 0.56 ACRES IS REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED 34-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION adjacent to the south side of Gilmore Avenue, approximately 950 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive (APN: 138-07-601-003) [PROPOSED:R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that this property is an infill piece and is compatible with the surrounding homes in relation to lot sizes and square footage. In lieu of the open space requirements which were not included in this area, the applicant has provided the smaller parcels and the City has then allowed the applicant to waive the requirements. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that this has been a consistent concept.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 178 – VAR-1006

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 177 [Z-0076-02] for related discussion.

(3:33 – 3:35)

5-709

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0076-02) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1022).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0076-02 AND VAR-1006 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1022 - ROSE GRAVANTE ON BEHALF OF RL HOMES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the six-foot Perimeter Landscape Requirement FOR A 34-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 5.0 acres adjacent to the south side of Gilmore Avenue, approximately 950 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive (APN: 138-07-601-003) [PROPOSED: R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 177 [Z-0076-02], Item 178 [VAR-1006] and Item 179 [SDR-1022] was held under Item 177 [Z-0076-02] and Item 178 [VAR-1006].

(3:33 – 3:35)

5-709

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 179 – SDR-1022

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a Rezoning [Z-0076-02] to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per Acre) by the City Council.
2. An Open Space Variance (VAR-1006) approved by the City Council.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 16 feet to the front of the house, 18 feet to the front of the garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 10 feet in the rear.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 179 – SDR-1022

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed access drives and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0076-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0080-02 - PATRICK AND CECILIA DIFFER -
Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) on 1.25 acres located adjacent to the south side of Van Buren Avenue, approximately 307 feet east of Lamb Boulevard (APN: 140-29-101-009), PROPOSED USE: 10-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PATRICK DIFFER, 1223 Starstone Court, agreed with all of staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 180 – Z-0080-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion for Item 180 [Z-0080-02] and Item 181 [SD-0037-02] was held under Item 180 [Z-0080-02].

(3:35 – 3:38)

5-815

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements on Van Buren Avenue adjacent to this site and an appropriate paved transition to tie into existing pavement west of this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
5. Extend public sanitary sewer to this site along an alignment and to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 180 – Z-0080-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0080-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0037-02 - PATRICK AND CECILIA DIFFER - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 10 UNIT APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT on 1.25 acres located adjacent to the south side of Van Buren Avenue, approximately 307 feet east of Lamb Boulevard (APN: 140-29-101-009), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: R-3 (Medium Density Residential)], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PATRICK DIFFER, 1223 Starstone Court, agreed with all of staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 181 – SD-0037-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion for Item 180 [Z-0080-02] and Item 181 [SD-0037-02] was held under Item 180 [Z-0080-02].

(3:35 – 3:38)

5-815

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a Rezoning (Z-0080-02) to R-3 (Medium Density Residential) by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters. All landscaping shall meet the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
7. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
8. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 181 – SD-0037-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. Construct half-street improvements on Van Buren Avenue adjacent to this site and an appropriate paved transition to tie into existing pavement west of this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. Extend public sanitary sewer to this site along an alignment and to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits.
14. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 181 – SD-0037-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-0031-02 - JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF JOE RISNER - Request to amend a portion of Southeast Sector Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on approximately 0.52 acres located at 2834 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-36-402-013), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Joseph E. Risner requesting abeyance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MR. RYAN thanked the Council for holding Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] in abeyance.

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0031-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0074-02 - JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF JOE RISNER** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-1 (Limited Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on approximately 0.52 acres located at 2834 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-36-402-013), PROPOSED USE: INDOOR/OUTDOOR STORAGE, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Joseph E. Risner requesting abeyance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] to 11/20/2002, Item 164 [U-0121-02], Item 169 [Z-0054-02], and Item 170 [Z-0054-02 (1) to 12/4/2002, TABLE Item 150 [V-0037-02], Item 151 [V-0038-02], and Item 152 [U-0073-02], and WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 154 [V-0063-02]. – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MR. RYAN thanked the Council for holding Item 182 [GPA-0031-02] and Item 183 [Z-0074-02] in abeyance.

There was no discussion.

(1:41 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 3:00 P.M. - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0023-02 - WILLARD R. AND MARY VIRGINIA JONES 1990 TRUST** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on 21.25 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Durango Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-33-301-001, 004, 125-33-302-001 and 007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

246**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

9**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
5. Submitted at meeting: Statistics pertinent to development of Durango Drive
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter dated 11/5/2002 from the Nevada Well Owners Assn.
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter of opposition from Barbara Singleton dated 11/1/2002
8. Submitted prior to the meeting: Letter of opposition from John & Rhoda Amundson

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE TO 12/4/2002 not to be heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 184 – GPA-0023-02

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department
PETER ATKINSON, 5036 North Lisa Lane
TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas citizen
BOB MURPHY, 5100 Lisa Lane
ROBERT RETIAK, Nevada Well Owners Association
PAUL PREKOP, 5220 North Lisa Lane
RUTH LAVIN, 8201 Adler Circle
GERARD DEINET, 5016 North Tomsik
LINDA FIONDA, 9390 West Helena
JIM DONOHUE, 4195 Tomsik
CARMEN THOMSON, 8300 West Fisher Avenue
DIANA COX, 8415 Washburn Avenue
MICHAEL PARRISH, 5120 Lisa Lane
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
DONNA MARTIN, 8125 West Washburn Avenue
KAY GILBERT PACKSON, 8210 Washburn Avenue
KEN THOMAS, 8475 West Washburn Road
VALERIE JUICK, no address given
BOB ROEBUCK, 894
JOSEPH HANSON, 8102 MacKenzie Court
RICHARD BAINS, 8100 La Madre Lane
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati
MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department
BOB GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN, no address given
BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
GLADYS FINE, no address given

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Upon taking a count by a show of hands, MAYOR GOODMAN directed that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] would not be heard before 4:00 p.m., time certain, at the 12/4/2002 City Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 184 – GPA-0023-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion for that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186[V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] was held under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

(3:46 – 5:32)

5-869/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 3:00 P.M. - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0023-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0048-02 - WILLARD R. AND MARY VIRGINIA JONES 1990 TRUST** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre) on 21.25 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Durango Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-33-301-001, 004, 125-33-302-001 and 007), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

246**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

9**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
5. Submitted at meeting: Statistics pertinent to development of Durango Drive
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter dated 11/5/2002 from the Nevada Well Owners Assn.
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter of opposition from Barbara Singleton dated 11/1/2002

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE TO 12/4/2002 not to be heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 185 – Z-0048-02

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department
PETER ATKINSON, 5036 North Lisa Lane
TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas citizen
BOB MURPHY, 5100 Lisa Lane
ROBERT RETIAK, Nevada Well Owners Association
PAUL PREKOP, 5220 North Lisa Lane
RUTH LAVIN, 8201 Adler Circle
GERARD DEINET, 5016 North Tomsik
LINDA FIONDA, 9390 West Helena
JIM DONOHUE, 4195 Tomsik
CARMEN THOMSON, 8300 West Fisher Avenue
DIANA COX, 8415 Washburn Avenue
MICHAEL PARRISH, 5120 Lisa Lane
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
DONNA MARTIN, 8125 West Washburn Avenue
KAY GILBERT PACKSON, 8210 Washburn Avenue
KEN THOMAS, 8475 West Washburn Road
VALERIE JUICK, no address given
BOB ROEBUCK, 894
JOSEPH HANSON, 8102 MacKenzie Court
RICHARD BAINS, 8100 La Madre Lane
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati
MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department
BOB GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN, no address given
BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
GLADYS FINE, no address given

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Upon taking a count by a show of hands, MAYOR GOODMAN directed that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] would not be heard before 4:00 p.m., time certain, at the 12/4/2002 City Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 185 – Z-0048-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion for that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186[V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] was held under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

(3:46 – 5:32)

5-869/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 3:00 P.M. - VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0023-02 AND Z-0048-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0071-02 - WILLARD R. AND MARY VIRGINIA JONES 1990 TRUST** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 0.52 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 0.91 ACRES ARE REQUIRED FOR A 55 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 21.25 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Durango Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-33-301-001, 004, 125-33-302-001 and 007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

140

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

9

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Statistics pertinent to development of Durango Drive
5. Submitted at meeting: Letter dated 11/5/2002 from the Nevada Well Owners Assn.
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter of opposition from Barbara Singleton dated 11/1/2002
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter of opposition from Mary Stivers, Lorena Sherman and Paul and Susanne Longsan

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE TO 12/4/2002 not to be heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 186 – V-0071-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department
PETER ATKINSON, 5036 North Lisa Lane
TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas citizen
BOB MURPHY, 5100 Lisa Lane
ROBERT RETIAK, Nevada Well Owners Association
PAUL PREKOP, 5220 North Lisa Lane
RUTH LAVIN, 8201 Adler Circle
GERARD DEINET, 5016 North Tomsik
LINDA FIONDA, 9390 West Helena
JIM DONOHUE, 4195 Tomsik
CARMEN THOMSON, 8300 West Fisher Avenue
DIANA COX, 8415 Washburn Avenue
MICHAEL PARRISH, 5120 Lisa Lane
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
DONNA MARTIN, 8125 West Washburn Avenue
KAY GILBERT PACKSON, 8210 Washburn Avenue
KEN THOMAS, 8475 West Washburn Road
VALERIE JUICK, no address given
BOB ROEBUCK, 894
JOSEPH HANSON, 8102 MacKenzie Court
RICHARD BAINS, 8100 La Madre Lane
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnatti
MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department
BOB GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN, no address given
BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
GLADYS FINE, no address given

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 186 – V-0071-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: Upon taking a count by a show of hands, MAYOR GOODMAN directed that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] would not be heard before 4:00 p.m., time certain, at the 12/4/2002 City Council meeting.

NOTE: All discussion for that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186[V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] was held under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

(3:46 – 5:32)

5-869/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 3:00 P.M. - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0023-02, Z-0048-02 AND V-0071-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0048-02(1) - WILLARD R. AND MARY VIRGINIA JONES 1990 TRUST - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 66-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 21.25 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Durango Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-33-301-001, 004, 125-33-302-001 and 007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

248**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

9**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL..

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Statistics pertinent to development of Durango Drive
5. Submitted at meeting: Letter dated 11/5/2002 from the Nevada Well Owners Assn.
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter of opposition from Barbara Singleton dated 11/1/2002

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE TO 12/4/2002 not to be heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 187 – Z-0048-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department
PETER ATKINSON, 5036 North Lisa Lane
TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas citizen
BOB MURPHY, 5100 Lisa Lane
ROBERT RETIAK, Nevada Well Owners Association
PAUL PREKOP, 5220 North Lisa Lane
RUTH LAVIN, 8201 Adler Circle
GERARD DEINET, 5016 North Tomsik
LINDA FIONDA, 9390 West Helena
JIM DONOHUE, 4195 Tomsik
CARMEN THOMSON, 8300 West Fisher Avenue
DIANA COX, 8415 Washburn Avenue
MICHAEL PARRISH, 5120 Lisa Lane
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
DONNA MARTIN, 8125 West Washburn Avenue
KAY GILBERT PACKSON, 8210 Washburn Avenue
KEN THOMAS, 8475 West Washburn Road
VALERIE JUICK, no address given
BOB ROEBUCK, 894
JOSEPH HANSON, 8102 MacKenzie Court
RICHARD BAINS, 8100 La Madre Lane
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati
MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department
BOB GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department
KEN YERIKE, 5311 North Lisa Lane
UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN, no address given
BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
GLADYS FINE, no address given

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Upon taking a count by a show of hands, MAYOR GOODMAN directed that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186 [V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] would not be heard before 4:00 p.m., time certain, at the 12/4/2002 City Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 187 – Z-0048-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion for that Item 184 [GPA-0023-02], Item 185 [Z-0048-02], Item 186[V-0071-02] and Item 187 [Z-0048-02(1)] was held under Item 184 [GPA-0023-02].

(3:46 – 5:32)

5-869/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 11 W. Utah Street, DB 1204 Clairemont Street, SD-0047-02, U-0106-02, U-0114-02, U-0122-02, U-0123-02, U-0124-02, U-0125-02, U-0126-02, U-0127-02, U-0128-02, U-0129-02, U-0130-02, V-0072-02, V-0074-02, V-0075-02, VAC-0072-02, VAC-0072-02 – 11/20/2002
AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 6, 2002**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnatti, stated that he has done extensive research regarding employees of the City, including the City Council, and the inability to account for the number of hours actually worked. He criticized those employees who presumably work only five to six hours a day. He was critical of all departments within the City, stating that citizens are forced to respond to answering machines. MR. CHRISTOFF expressed his annoyance and presumed that the unaccountability of labor hours that result in labor cost losses are carried by the taxpayers. He finalized that the City must put in an electronic computer system for all employees of the City to clock in and out of work and eliminate what he believes is a 27.3% waste factor.

(5:17 – 5:20)

6-904

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, discussed a number of items, one of which pertains to homeowner's insurance that is based on ISO ratings. MR. FARLOW explained that he looked into the matter further and discovered that these ratings have to do with the fire department. They pertain to the distance between residences and how well the equipment is maintained. The ISO ratings are also related to building codes and how those codes are enforced.

(5:20 – 5:24)

6-1039

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, related his comments regarding the Downtown Entertainment District that were addressed to the Real Estate and the Recommending Committees members with the request that the Mayor and all Council members be made aware as well. MR. McGOWAN also spoke regarding the credibility of FIRE CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON and praised him for his years of dedicated service. MR. McGOWAN submitted a detailed written summation of his comments for the record.

(5:24 – 5:28)

6-1187

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 6, 2002 CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

TONY MORENO, 8113 Graceville, explained circumstances that have evolved regarding completion of the Doolittle Center, whereby no payment has yet been received. He stated that the City Engineer and the General Contractor have been unable to resolve this situation, so in the meantime, there are sub-contractors and suppliers who remain unpaid. MR. MORENO stated that he has tried to contact COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S staff in hopes of finding some resolution.

MAYOR GOODMAN assured MR. MORENO that the City Manager's office will look into this situation and try to find some resolution.

(5:28 – 5:32)

6-1325

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:33 P.M.